CASE REPORT Open Access



Highly suspected cases of salmonellosis in two cats fed with a commercial raw meatbased diet: health risks to animals and zoonotic implications

Federica Giacometti^{1*}, Jacopo Magarotto², Andrea Serraino¹ and Silvia Piva¹

Abstract

Background: Feeding raw meat-based diets (RMBD) to companion animals raises public health concerns for both animals and humans. While considerable attention has been paid to bacterial contamination of commercial pet food, few literature studies have investigated foodborne disease in companion animals. Salmonellosis is reported to be infrequent in cats but no known data or studies estimating feline salmonellosis are available or large-scale epidemiological studies assessing *Salmonella* risk factors.

Case presentation: Two highly suspected cases of salmonellosis in two cats fed with a commercial frozen poultry RMBD are presented, for the first time from the same household. The clinical presentation, diagnostics, treatment and follow-up are reported and the zoonotic implications are discussed.

Conclusions: This case highlights the health risks posed to both animals and owners by feeding RMBD to pets, and suggests that these risks should be considered by veterinary practitioners.

Keywords: Salmonella sp., Cat, Raw meat-based diet, Zoonotic risk

Background

Non-typhoidal *Salmonella* spp. are important human and animal pathogens worldwide. Most human salmonellosis cases are foodborne. However infections are also reported through direct or indirect animal contact in homes, veterinary clinics, zoological gardens, farms or other public, professional or private settings [1]. Incidents of human salmonellosis in Europe (EU) are relatively common (EU notification rate of 23.4 cases per 100,000) and most are attributed to the consumption of contaminated foods (55%) but fairly high percentages are linked to environmental sources (13%) and even to direct animal contact (9%) [2]. Likewise, pets are also susceptible to *Salmonella* infection, but no known data or studies estimating salmonellosis cases in pets are available.

Of the primary enteropathogenic bacteria, *Salmonella* spp. is found in the feline intestinal tract [3–5]; salmonellosis is uncommon in cats, often, favoured by host immunosuppression [6]. Cats are primarily infected subclinically, but gastrointestinal disease manifested as enterocolitis and endotoxemia can occur and is initially associated with fever, malaise, and anorexia followed by vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhoea [7]. Abortion, stillbirth, meningoencephalitis, respiratory distress and conjunctivitis have also been described [6, 8]; the disease manifestations range from mild self-limited diarrhoea to potential and fatal gastroenteritis and septicaemia [9].

Salmonella prevalence among cats is variable and similar in diarrhoeic and non-diarrhoeic cats, with shedding rates from 0 to 8.6% in diarrhoeic cats and from 0 to 14% in non-diarrhoeic cats [7, 10–12]. However, higher prevalence rates are found in stray or shelter dogs/cats and in dogs fed with raw food diets with the odds of shedding Salmonella estimated to be 23 times

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: federica.giacometti3@unibo.it

¹Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Bologna, Italy

greater for dogs fed with raw food diets than those given commercial diets [13–16].

Feeding raw diets to domestic cats is becoming increasingly popular and today there are many options for owners who wish to change their feeding practices. Recipes for home-made raw food diets are widely available and commercial frozen food diets are also sold at some pet stores and veterinary clinics. The main ingredients of these products are raw meat, mainly poultry and beef, vegetables, grains and fruits [17]. As these diets do not undergo any type of heat processing or sterilization, existing bacteria and parasites can be present at the time of consumption [15] so both commercial and home-made raw meat-based diets (RMBD) are at risk of contamination with pathogens including *Salmonella* spp. [17, 18].

Salmonella spp. are transmitted directly or indirectly by the faecal-oral route [13]. Sources of infection with Salmonella spp. for indoor cats include the ingestion of raw meat and some processed food, while outdoor cats are at risk from scavenging and hunting rodents and birds, exposure to reptiles and environmental contamination [8, 9, 17, 19]. However, large-scale epidemiological studies assessing Salmonella spp. and their risk factors are lacking with only one report to date describing two cases of feline salmonellosis in a multicat household fed with a home-made contaminated beef RMBD [9].

This report describes two highly suspected cases of feline salmonellosis in two cats from the same household fed with RMBD and identifies a likely source of the *Salmonella* cases.

Case presentation

Case number 1

In January 2014, an 8-year-old neutered female indoor domestic cat, Sphynx breed, was evaluated at a private veterinary clinic for gastrointestinal signs, namely anorexia with recent weight loss, vomiting and diarrhoea. The signs had began 10 days earlier with lethargy/weakness and malaise; the cat had a history of gastrointestinal and hepatic problems. For most of the cat's adult life, her body weight had been consistent at approximately 4 kg. The cat was housed with one other cat, her daughter, which reported no signs. At the first visit, the owner reported giving the animals the same diet, namely homemade and commercial dry pet food.

On physical examination, the cat weighed 2.8 kg, the rectal temperature was 39.5 °C, pulse rate was 180 beats/min, and respiratory rate was 36 breaths/min; abnormalities on physical examination included fluid-splashing sounds in the abdomen, about 8% dehydration, left retromandibular lymph node enlargement, and the oral examination showed gingivitis, plaque and halitosis. The cat appeared otherwise normal.

Faecal examination showed a mucoid and bloody diarrhoea and the faecal flotation test and SNAP faecal enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) Giardia test (SNAP Giardia test, IDEXX Laboratories, Maine, USA) were negative. A complete geriatric haemobiochemical profile was sent to Idexx Laboratory; results of the cell blood count (CBC), venous haemogas analysis, serum biochemical analysis and assessment of serum total thyroxine concentration were within reference intervals except for leukocytes (23.6 G/l, reference interval, 6-11 G/l), absolute segmented neutrophils (19,030/ul, reference intervals 3000-11,000/ul), absolute monocytes (1676/ul reference intervals 0-500/ul); ALT (256 U//l, reference interval, < 175 U/l), AST (118 U/l, reference intervals <71 U/l) serum albumin concentration (17 g/l, reference intervals 27-44 g/l), calcium (1.9 mmol/l, reference interval, 2.2-2.9 mmol/l), and folate (7.9 ng/ml, reference interval 11.1 - 21.6 ng/ml).

A diagnosis of generic enteritis, hepatopathy with probable intestinal infection, was made. The cat was hospitalized for 6 days and treated intravenously with: fluid therapy (Ringer's acetate), Metronidazole antibiotic (10 mg/kg bid - Deflamon 500 mg/100 mL), Enrofloxacin antibiotic (5 mg/kg sid - Baytril 50 mg/ml), S-Adenosylmethionine (20 mg/kg sid - Samyr 400 mg/5 ml). The cat was fed with a gastrointestinal diet (Prescription Diet i/d Feline, Hill's) and lactobacillus (Florentero, Candioli Pharma), and treated with milbemycin oxime and praziquantel (Milbemax*, Novartis).

Case number 2

Four days after case number 1 was seen for the first time, a 6-year-old female cat from the same household was referred to the same veterinary clinic for the same signs previously reported for case number 1, 3 days of vomiting and diarrhoea and 1 day of anorexia, but milder than case 1. For most of the cat's adult life, her body weight had been approximately 3 kg.

During the clinical visit and considering the anamnesis of case number 2, the veterinarian suspected the same infection. Investigating the infection and the possible sources of contamination more deeply, the owner specified that the homemade food given to both animals was a frozen commercial poultry RMBD bought on the internet.

On physical examination, the cat weighed 2.5 kg, the rectal temperature was 38.3 °C, pulse rate was 180 beats/min, and respiratory rate was 40 breaths/min; abnormalities included only about 7% dehydration. Faecal examination showed a mucoid diarrhoea and the faecal flotation test was negative.

Clinicopathologic findings (procyte Idexx, catalyst Idexx), CBC and restricted serum biochemical analysis were within reference intervals except for leukocytes

(22.14 K/ul, reference interval, 2.87-17.02 K/ul), absolute segmented neutrophils (18.2 K/ul, reference intervals 1.48-10.29 K/ul), absolute monocytes (0.72 K/ul reference intervals 0.05-0.67 K/ul); ALT (132 U//l, reference interval, < 130 U/l), AST (57 U/l, reference intervals <48 U/l) and GGT (4 U/l, reference intervals 0-1 U/l).

Based on the information acquired during anamnesis of the second case and the fact that both cats had received the same raw food diet, fresh faeces of case number 2 were collected and sent to IDEXX Laboratories for a real-time PCR assay evaluating a panel of 8 enteropathogens (Feline Diarrhoea RealPCR™ Panel) including feline panleukopenia virus, feline coronavirus, *Tritrichomonas foetus, Giardia* sp., *Toxoplasma gondii, Cryptosporidium* sp., *Salmonella* sp. and the detection of *Clostridium perfringens* toxin A gene (*cpa*) and *Clostridium perfringens* enterotoxin gene (*cpe*). The PCR assays detected *Salmonella* sp. and 1,300,000 copies/g of *cpa* were quantified; no other enteropathogens were detected. The cat was hospitalized for 4 days and treated as reported for case number 1.

Case follow-up

At the time of writing, a diagnosis of inflammatory bowel disease was made for case number 1 and the cat reports several daily episodes of diarrhoea, whereas case number 2 is healthy and never showed any recurrence of gastrointestinal signs.

Analysis of commercial frozen poultry RMBD and commercial dry pet food

On the basis of the Salmonella sp. and C. perfringens positive faeces, the owner of the two cats was advised by the veterinarian to discontinue the practice of feeding raw meat-based diets and the commercial poultry RMBD was suspected as a possible source of infection. The owner submitted specimens of both diets, namely commercial prepared bowl of frozen poultry RMBD and commercial dry pet food, to the Experimental Institutes for Zooprophylaxis in Veneto for bacteriological culture: the diets were analyzed for the detection of Salmonella sp. with real-time PCR (iQ-Check® Salmonella, Bio-Rad) validated by AFNOR (BRD 07/06-07/04) and also using the official International Organization for Standardization (ISO) cultural methods, ISO 6579:2002/ Cor 1:2004, and using the ISO 7937:2004 for the count of C. perfringens. The RMBD results disclosed the presence of Salmonella spp. DNA by real-time PCR and the isolation of Salmonella spp., that was serotyped as Salmonella Typhimurium Group B 1,4,(5),12:i:1,2, whereas *C. perfrin*gens was counted as <10 colony forming units (cfu)/g. Salmonella spp. was not detected by real-time PCR in the commercial dry pet food, and C. perfringens was counted as <10 cfu/g.

Discussion and conclusions

Diarrhoea is common in domestic cats, occurring as a result of gastrointestinal disease (including dietary causes, gastrointestinal infection, inflammation or neoplasia) or extra-intestinal disease, and the diagnosis can be frustrating for clinicians and owners alike [3]. The traditional diagnosis of feline salmonellosis is based on the isolation of *Salmonella* spp. in conjunction with clinical signs and assessment of the potential risk factors because the isolation of *Salmonella* from cats alone can be insufficient [7]. In this report, the culture results of RMBD, the clinical signs of the two cats, the detection of *Salmonella* spp. DNA in cat number 2 and the exclusion of other potential aetiological agents (with the exception of *C. perfringens* toxin A gene detection) suggest this is a case of salmonellosis.

In relation to the C. perfringens toxin A gene detected in the faeces of case number 2, C. perfringens biotype A is the most common genotype in dogs and cats and part of the normal canine intestinal microflora [7]. Its role as an enteropathogen is not fully understood and it is suspected to be associated with anything from mild, selflimiting diarrhoea to rapidly fatal necrohaemorrhagic enteritis. Most studies indicate this as a primary enteropathogen but some authors suggest it could act as an opportunistic agent in dogs and cats [20]. Disruption of the normal microbiota, such as a sudden change to a high protein diet, or enteric infection by other pathogens, like parvovirus, are considered predisposing factors in dogs [11, 21]. Diagnosis of C. perfringens type A associated diarrhoea in companion animals is challenging because the clinical signs cannot be differentiated from enteritis caused by other enteropathogens. Recent studies correlating the presence of cpe in feces with diarrhoea in dogs suggest that the detection of this toxin in stool samples could be useful to diagnose C. perfringens type A-associated diarrhoea. However, no study has confirmed the role of the C. perfringens enterotoxin in dogs and both enterotoxin and isolates positive for the cpe gene can be found in healthy dogs, so these methods could suggest that C. perfringens is involved, but they are not confirmatory [20]. Similar observations are reported to explain the results from the IDEEX available for case no. 2. The notes linked to *cpa* and *cpe* laboratory results highlight that the presence of the DNA of the C. perfringens alpha toxin over 300,000 copies/g could be implicated in the clinical signs but, in case of cpe copies under the limit or absent, as in our case, it is unlikely that cpa is the cause of diarrhoea and definitely not *cpe*. All these aspects led us to speculate that a co-infection was responsible. It is important to note that unlike studies in dogs, no association has been found between diarrhoea and detection of the enterotoxin in stool samples or detection of *cpe* in *C*. perfringens strains isolated from cats. Hence, the diagnosis

should be based only on the isolation of *C. perfringens* (positive or negative for *cpe*) in conjunction with the absence of other enteropathogens [20].

The detection of Salmonella spp. DNA in case number 2 faeces and the isolation of Salmonella in the commercial RMBD support the hypothesis of a Salmonella infection caused by the consumption of contaminated RMBD. However, no cultural examinations were performed in faeces of the two cats to avoid isolates from animals and feed being characterized by a discriminative typing method, therefore representing a major limitation of our findings. Considering that the sources of Salmonella exposure are dependent on whether cats are indoor or outdoor pets [17] and that both cats were households pets with no contact with other animals or the outside environment, the most likely source of exposure is consumption of food contaminated with Salmonella. In this context, veterinary practitioners should be trained and encouraged on the need for faecal culture in case of positive PCR findings in order to optimize the identification and management of enteropathogenic bacteria in companion animals [7].

In recent years, RBMD has become an increasingly popular trend in unconventional pet food [16] even if raw meat is frequently contaminated with Salmonella [22] thereby posing health risks to both animals and owners. A recent Canadian study estimated Salmonella prevalence in canine RMBD at approximately 21% with a higher prevalence in raw food diets containing chicken compared with other meat types [23]. Several Salmonella-related recalls of raw foods have been reported in recent years, including frozen cat food in the USA [1], in contrast with the decreased Salmonella prevalence in dry feeds [24]. Raw food is well-known to pose a substantial risk of infectious disease to the pet, the pet's environment and the humans in the household, but there are no data on the number of dogs and cats that have become ill after eating contaminated food, or the percentage of pet owners who feed commercial or prepared RMBDs [18]. To date, only one report associated the infection with raw diet and the infection was fatal in cats [9].

Raw food diets for companion animals could represent a potential pet-associated source of *Salmonella* spp. in humans. Several reports in the literature have documented *Salmonella* spp. transmission from cats to humans by household and occupational contact [1] but no confirmed cases of human salmonellosis have been associated with raw food diets [23]. In any case, owners who decide to feed animals with RMBD should take strict precautions to avoid direct or indirect transmission, also considering the close relationship that most owners have with their pets.

This report suggests that RMBD should be fed to pets with caution as they could lead to infection, especially in animals with impaired host immune defences. Veterinary practitioners should educate pet owners about the zoonotic risks although the risk to human health remains unquantified.

Abbreviations

cpa: Clostridium perfringens toxin A gene; cpe: Clostridium perfringens enterotoxin gene (cpe).; RMBD: Raw meat-based diets

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge DVM Fabris and the cats' owner Mr. Greco who kindly shared documents and cases.

Fundina

Funding was provided by the Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Italy to A. Serraino.

Availability of data and materials

The data supporting our findings are contained within the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

FG, AS and SP coordinated the case report and reviewed the literature; JM conducted the cases; all authors contributed to writing the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

In both admitted cases the owner gave his informed consent to participate in the study.

Consent for publication

In both admitted cases the owner gave his informed consent for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Department of Veterinary Medical Sciences, University of Bologna, Via Tolara di Sopra 50, 40064 Bologna, Italy. ²Veterinary practitioner, 30174 Venice, Italy.

Received: 24 May 2016 Accepted: 18 July 2017 Published online: 24 July 2017

References

- Hoelzer K, Switt AlM, Wiedmann M. Animal contact as a source of human non-typhoidal salmonellosis. BMC Vet Res. 2011;42:34.
- European Food Safety Authority. Scientific opinion of the panel on biological hazards on a request from the European Commission on a quantitative microbiological risk assessment on Salmonella in meat: source attribution for human salmonellosis from meat. EFSA J. 2008;625:1–32. http://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/efsajournal/pub/625. Accessed 5 Apr 2016
- Paris JK, Wills S, Balzer H, Shaw DJ, Gunn-Moore DA. Enteropathogen co-infection in UK cats with diarrhoea. BMC Vet Res. 2014;10:13.
- Gow AG, Gow DJ, Hall EJ, Langton D, Clarke C, Papasouliotis K. Prevalence of potentially pathogenic enteric organisms in clinically healthy kittens in the UK. J Feline Med Surg. 2009;11(8):655–62.
- Hill SL, Cheney JM, Taton-Allen GF, Reif JS, Bruns C, Lappin MR. Prevalence of enteric zoonotic organisms in cats. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2000;216(5):687–92.
- Callegari C, Palermo G, Greco MF, Corrente M, Piseddu E, Auriemma E, Zini E. Pneumonia associated with Salmonella spp. infection in a cat receiving cyclosporine. Schweiz Arch Tierheilkd. 2014;156(10):499–503.
- Marks SL, Rankin SC, Byrne BA, Weese JS. Enteropathogenic bacteria in dogs and cats: diagnosis, epidemiology, treatment, and control. J Vet Intern Med. 2011;25(6):1195–208.
- Carter ME, Quinn PJ. Salmonella infections in dogs and cats. In: Wray C, Wray A, CABI Publishing International, editors. Salmonella in domestic animals. London: CABI Publishing; 2000. p. 231–44.

- Stiver SL, Frazier KS, Mauel MJ, Styer EL. Septicemic salmonellosis in two cats fed a raw-meat diet. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2003;39:538–42.
- Spain CV, Scarlett JM, Wade SE, McDonough P. Prevalence of enteric zoonotic agents in cats less than 1 year old in Central New York state. J Vet Intern Med. 2001;15:33–8.
- Sabshin SJ, Levy JK, Tupler T, Tucker SJ, Greiner EC, Leutenegger CM. Enteropathogens identified in cats entering a Florida animal shelter with normal feces or diarrhea. JAVMA. 2012;241(3):331–7.
- Queen EV, Marks SL, Farver TB. Prevalence of selected bacterial and parasitic agents in feces from diarrheic and healthy control cats from northern California. J Vet Intern Med. 2012;26:54–60.
- Damborg P, Broens EM, Chomel BB, Guentherx S, Pasmansk F, Wagenaar JA, Weese JS, Wielerx LH, Windahl U, Vanrompay D, Guardabassi L: Bacterial zoonoses transmitted by household pets: state-of-the-art and future perspectives for targeted research and policy actions. J Comp Path. 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.jcpa.2015.03.004.
- Lefebvre SL, Reid-Smith R, Boerlin P, Weese JS. Evaluation of the risks of shedding salmonellae and other potential pathogens by therapy dogs fed raw diets in Ontario and Alberta. Zoonoses Public Health. 2008;55:470–80.
- Finley R, Ribble C, Aramini J, Vandermeer M, Popa M, Litman M, Reid-Smith R. The risk of salmonellae shedding by dogs fed Salmonella-contaminated commercial raw food diets. Can Vet J. 2007;48:69–75.
- Schlesinger DP, Joffe DJ. Raw food diets in companion animals: a critical review. Can Vet J. 2011;52:50–4.
- Finley R, Reid-Smith R, Weese JS. Human health implications of Salmonella-contaminated natural pet treats and raw pet food. Clin Inf Dis. 2006;42(1):686–91.
- Freeman LM, Chandler ML, Hamper BA, Weeth LP. Current knowledge about the risks and benefits of raw meat–based diets for dogs and cats. JAVMA. 2013;243(11):1549–58.
- Philbey AW, Mather HA, Taylor DJ, Coia JE. Isolation of avian strains of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium from cats with enteric disease in the United Kingdom. Vet Record. 2008;162:120–2.
- Silva ROS, Lobato FCF. Clostridium perfringens: a review of enteric diseases in dogs, cats and wild animals. Anaerobe. 2015;33:14–7.
- Turk J, Fales W, Miller M, Pace L, Fischer J, Johnson G, Kreeger J, Turnquist S, Pittman L, Rottinghaus A. Enteric Clostridium perfringens infection associated with parvoviral enteritis in dogs: 74 cases (1987–1990). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 1992;200(7):991–4
- Leonard EK, Pearl DL, Finley RL, Janecko N, Peregrine AS, Reid-Smith RJ, Weese JS. Evaluation of pet-related management factors and the risk of Salmonella spp. carriage in pet dogs from volunteer households in Ontario (2005–2006). Zoonoses Public Health. 2011;58:140–9.
- Finley R, Reid-Smith R, Ribble C, Popa M, Vandermeer M, Aramini J. The
 occurrence and antimicrobial susceptibility of salmonellae isolated from
 commercially available canine raw food diets in three Canadian cities.
 Zoonoses Public Health. 2008;55:462–9.
- Nemser SM, Doran T, Grabenstein M, McConnell T, McGrath T, Pamboukian R, Smith AC, Achen M, Danzeisen G, Kim S, Liu Y, Robeson S, Rosario G, McWilliams Wilson K, Reimschuesse R. Investigation of *Listeria, Salmonella*, and Toxigenic *Escherichia coli* in various pet foods. Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2014;11(9):706–9.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

