RESEARCH

Total intravenous anesthesia with Ketofol in rabbits: a comparison of the effects of constant rate infusion of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine

Arghavan Mofidi¹ and Nasser Vesal^{1*}

Abstract

Background When inhalant anesthetic equipment is not available or during upper airway surgery, intravenous infusion of one or more drugs are commonly used to induce and/or maintain general anesthesia. Total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) does not require endotracheal intubation, which may be more difficult to achieve in rabbits. A range of different injectable drug combinations have been used as continuous infusion rate in animals. Recently, a combination of ketamine and propofol (ketofol) has been used for TIVA in both human patients and animals. The purpose of this prospective, blinded, randomized, crossover study was to evaluate anesthetic and cardiopulmonary effects of ketofol total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) in combination with constant rate infusion (CRI) of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine in eight New Zealand White rabbits. Following IV induction with ketofol and endotracheal intubation, anesthesia was maintained with ketofol infusion in combination with CRIs of midazolam (loading dose [LD]: 0.3 mg/kg; CRI: 0.3 mg/kg/hr; KPM), fentanyl (LD: 6 μ g/kg; CRI: 6 μ g/kg/hr; KPF) or dexmedetomidine (LD: 3 μ g/kg; CRI: 3 μ g/kg/hr; KPD). Rabbits in the control treatment (KPS) were administered the same volume of saline for LD and CRI. Ketofol infusion rate (initially 0.6 mg kg⁻¹ minute⁻¹ [0.3 mg kg⁻¹ minute⁻¹ of each drug]) was adjusted to suppress the pedal withdrawal reflex. Ketofol dose and physiologic variables were recorded every 5 min.

Results Ketofol induction doses were 14.9 ± 1.8 (KPM), 15.0 ± 1.9 (KPF), 15.5 ± 2.4 (KPD) and 14.7 ± 3.4 (KPS) mg kg⁻¹ and did not differ among treatments (p > 0.05). Ketofol infusion rate decreased significantly in rabbits in treatments KPM and KPD as compared with saline. Ketofol maintenance dose in rabbits in treatments KPM (1.0 ± 0.1 mg/kg/min) and KPD (1.0 ± 0.1 mg/kg/min) was significantly lower as compared to KPS (1.3 ± 0.1 mg/kg/min) treatment (p < 0.05). Ketofol maintenance dose did not differ significantly between treatments KPF (1.1 ± 0.3 mg/kg/min) and KPS (1.3 ± 0.1 mg/kg/min). Cardiovascular variables remained at clinically acceptable values but ketofol infusion in combination with fentanyl CRI was associated with severe respiratory depression.

*Correspondence: Nasser Vesal nv1340@shirazu.ac.ir

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.







Conclusions At the studied doses, CRIs of midazolam and dexmedetomidine, but not fentanyl, produced ketofolsparing effect in rabbits. Mechanical ventilation should be considered during ketofol anesthesia, particularly when fentanyl CRI is used.

Keywords Dexmedetomidine, Fentanyl, Ketamine, Midazolam, Propofol, TIVA, Rabbit

Introduction

General anesthesia in rabbits is usually induced with injectable agents and maintained with inhalation anesthetics in oxygen delivered by mask or endotracheal tube. Although inhalation anesthesia is typically the first choice when a long duration of anesthesia is required, there are some drawbacks including the danger of chronic exposure of operating room personnel to low concentrations of volatile anesthetic agents and the need for a suitable anesthetic machine and endotracheal intubation, which may be more difficult to perform in rabbits [1]. Total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) can be used as an alternative to inhalant anesthesia by continuous administration of intravenous anesthetic agents. Ketamine is a dissociative agent, which is usually administered intramuscularly or intravenously for sedation or induction of anesthesia in rabbits following sedative/ analgesic premedications. In order to improve muscle relaxation, phenothiazines (acepromazine), α_2 -agonists (xylazine, medetomidine, dexmedetomidine), benzodiazepines (diazepam, midazolam) are usually administered in combination with ketamine [2]. Sympathetic nervous system-stimulating effects of ketamine typically results in an increase in heart rate and arterial blood pressure [3]. Although ketamine, even at subanesthetic doses, has analgesic properties but does not produce muscle relaxation and prolonged recovery from anesthesia may occur if high doses are administered [2].

Propofol is a phenol derivative unrelated to barbiturates, that induces rapid and smooth anesthesia of short duration. Propofol has no analgesic action and causes dose-dependent hypotension and respiratory depression. Intense hypotension and hypoxemia following the prolonged administration of propofol alone in rabbits have been reported [4].

Ketofol, a ketamine–propofol admixture has been used for induction or maintenance of anesthesia in humans [5, 6], dogs [7–10], cats [11], horses [12] and rabbits [13–15]. Propofol and S(+)-ketamine combination has been evaluated for TIVA in acepromazine-buprenorphine premedicated rabbits [16].

Human studies have suggested that ketamine and propofol may induce opposite effects on cardiopulmonary functions [17, 18]. Total intravenous anesthesia using ketamine-propofol combination, may hypothetically minimize hypotension and respiratory depression, while enhancing the analgesic effect during intraoperative and the immediate recovery period. A recent experimental study in rabbits indicated that premedication with medetomidine, midazolam or morphine, can significantly decrease the maintenance dose of ketofol infusion [15].

Intravenous CRIs of several anesthetic, sedative and analgesic drugs have been evaluated for balanced anesthetic protocols and TIVA in rabbits [19–24]. Drugs used as CRIs for balanced anesthesia can be titrated to effect, which could result in smaller doses of each individual drug to be used (being used). In order to reach an effective plasma concentration more rapidly, an intravenous loading dose is commonly administered immediately before the start of the CRI [25].

To the authors' knowledge, the effects of constant rate infusion of sedative/ analgesic drugs on ketofol anesthesia have not been reported previously in rabbits. In the present study, rabbits received a constant rate infusion of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine to compare their effects on the maintenance dose of ketofol anesthesia. We hypothesized that continuous infusion of these drugs would decrease the maintenance doses of ketofol in rabbits. The primary objective of this study was to determine the appropriate doses of ketamine-propofol combination required for induction and maintenance of anesthesia during CRIs of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine in rabbits. The secondary objective was to compare the cardiopulmonary effects of these drug combinations at similar anesthetic depth.

Materials and methods

After obtaining approval from the University Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol number 9,560,918/2020), 8 female New Zealand White rabbits (*Oryctolagus Cuniculus*), aged 5–7 months and weight 2.3 ± 0.2 kg (range 2.0-2.7 kg) were enrolled in the present study. Rabbits were purchased from laboratory animal center of Shiraz University of Medical Science and determined to be healthy as judged by physical examination (including heart rate, respiratory rate, rectal temperature, thoracic auscultation, mucous membrane color, pulse quality and the presence or absence of an ocular or nasal discharge).

Rabbits were housed in a controlled environment with a temperature of 20–22 °C and a 12 h day/night cycle. They were kept in pairs in stainless steel cages and had free access to standard rabbit pellets, alfalfa and tap water until 1 h before the experiment and underwent a period of acclimation of one week before the study. All experiments were conducted between 8 and 12 am. Each rabbit received a constant rate infusion of either midazolam (treatment KPM), fentanyl (treatment KPF), dexmedetomidine (treatment KPD), or saline (treatment KPS) in a randomized fashion (http://www.randomization.com, Accessed 10th November 2020). Each rabbit was administered all treatments with an interval of at least 7 days between treatments.

On the day of each experiment, the rabbits were restrained by lightly wrapping with a towel which allowed for more comfortable positioning while still preventing gross movements. Thirty minutes before auricular artery and vein catheterization, the eutectic mixture of lidocaine and prilocaine (Xyla-P° Cream, Tehran Chemie Pharmaceutical CO, Tehran, Iran) was applied on the skin of the both ears of each rabbit.

Before induction, the marginal ear vein on one side and central auricular artery on the opposite side were catheterized using 24 G over-the-needle catheters (Angiocatheter, Becton-Dickinson, USA) which were used for IV drug infusion and fluid administration, and collection of blood samples for blood gas analysis, respectively. The ketofol (as a 1:1 mixture) was prepared immediately before use, by diluting 2 mL of ketamine (Rotexmedica Germany, 50 mg/mL) in 8 mL normal saline, then adding 10 mL of propofol (Fresenius Kabi, Germany, 10 mg/mL) in a 20-mL syringe to acquire a solution of 5 mg/mL ketamine and 5 mg/mL propofol.

Animals were preoxygenated with 100% oxygen through a face mask for 3–5 min prior to and during the induction of anesthesia. Anesthesia was induced with slow intravenous (IV) injection of ketofol at a rate of approximately 10 mg/kg/min until an adequate plane of anesthesia for successful endotracheal intubation (loss of jaw tone, absence of resistance to the protraction of the tongue and absence of swallowing and gag reflexes) was achieved. Following laryngeal desensitization with 0.2

Table 1 Treatment groups in rabbits anesthetized with ketofol (combination of ketamine and propofol) and received either midazolam [treatment KPM], fentanyl [treatment KPF], dexmedetomidine [treatment KPD] or saline [treatment KPS] constant rate infusions (CRIs)

Treatments	Induction	Maintenance*	CRIs
КРМ	ketofol	ketofol infusion	Midazolam LD: 0.3 mg/kg; CRI: 0.3 mg/kg/hr
KPF	ketofol	ketofol infusion	Fentanyl LD: 6 μg/kg; CRI: 6 μg/kg/hr; CRI
KPD	ketofol	ketofol infusion	Dexmedetomidine LD: 3 μg/kg; CRI: 3 μg/kg/hr; CRI
KPS	ketofol	ketofol infusion	Saline
************		And the second second second	

Starting infusion rate 0.3 mg/kg/min of each drug

LD: Loading dose

mL lidocaine (Caspian Pharmaceutical Co, Rasht, Iran, 20 mg/mL), trachea was intubated with an uncuffed tube (3 mm I.D.) by the same person using a videoendoscopic system.

If post-induction apnea (defined as an absence of spontaneous breathing for longer than 30 s) occurred, the lungs were ventilated at a rate of 4 breaths/min using the reservoir bag, until spontaneous breathing resumed. If the depth of anesthesia was not adequate for endotracheal intubation (the presence of jaw tone and/ or laryngeal reflex), small incremental doses of ketofol (2 mg/ kg, IV) were administered as necessary. The total ketofol dose (total mg dose for both ketamine and propofol) required for intubation (i.e., the anesthetic induction dose) was recorded.

Following endotracheal intubation, the endotracheal tube was attached to a small animal anesthesia machine (Fabius, Drager Medical, AG & Co. KGaA, Germany) with a non-rebreathing system (Mapleson F) and the oxygen flow set at 1 L/min. Anesthetized rabbits were positioned in right lateral recumbency on a warm water pad (approximately 40 °C) and allowed to breathe spontaneously.

Immediately following tracheal intubation, an IV infusion of ketofol (0.6 mg/kg/min [0.3 mg/kg/min of each drug]) and treatment drugs (midazolam, fentanyl, dexmedetomidine or saline) were started simultaneously using two calibrated syringe pump (JMS, Syringe pump, Japan). Treatments were as follows: treatment KPM (midazolam [5 mg/mL, Exir, Boroujerd, Iran]: loading dose 0.3 mg/kg; CRI 0.3 mg/kg/hr), treatment KPF (fentanyl [Feniject[®] 0.5, 50 µ/mL, Aburaihan Pharmaceutical Co., Tehran, Iran]: loading dose 6 µg/kg; CRI 6 µg/kg/ hr), treatment KPD (dexmedetomidine [Medonex[®] 200, 100 mcg/mL, Exir Pharmaceutical Co, Boroojerd, Iran]: loading dose 3 µg/kg; CRI 3 µg/kg/hr), or treatment KPS (saline: loading dose & CRI)(Table 1) [33].

The loading doses of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine were diluted in sterile 0.9% saline to a final volume of 0.5 mL and administered slowly over one minute. For continuous infusion, all treatment drugs were diluted with sterile 0.9% saline and administered at a rate of 5 mL/kg/hr. Rabbits in the KPS treatment were administered the same volume of saline for loading dose and CRI. Continuous infusion of ketofol and the treatment drugs were administered for 70 and 60 min, respectively. Initial dose of ketofol (0.3 mg/kg/min of each drug) was continued for 15 min before the first stimulus was applied. Then, the pedal withdrawal reflex was evaluated by a toe pinch in the pelvic limb with a hemostat clamped to the third ratchet. The same observer, who was unaware of the treatment administered to each rabbit, evaluated the pedal withdrawal reflex at 10-minute intervals for 70 min after initiation of drug infusion [10, 15]. The stimulus was applied for 5 s or until a positive response (withdrawal of the stimulated limb) was observed. If no response to stimulation occurred, ketofol infusion rate was decreased by 0.1 mg/kg/min (0.05 mg/kg/min of each drug), and if there was a positive response, the infusion rate was increased by 0.1 mg/kg/min [26]. Ketofol infusion rate was held constant for a 10-minute equilibration time before the next stimulation was applied. If spontaneous movement or swallowing was observed, ketofol was given as a bolus of 2 mg/kg intravenously. The KP infusion was discontinued after 70 min. The total dose of intravenous ketofol (mg/kg/min) used for maintenance of anesthesia (total mg dose for both drugs) was calculated for each rabbit by adding bolus doses to total drug dose administered as infusion.

The following physiologic variables were recorded at 5-minute intervals throughout anesthesia: heart rate (HR), respiratory rate (f_R) , oxygen saturation of hemoglobin (SpO₂) using pulse oximetry (placing the probe on the hindlimb toe-web), end-tidal carbon dioxide tension (PE'CO₂-using main-stream capnography), non-invasive systolic arterial blood pressure (SAP) and rectal temperature (RT) (PM 9000, Mindray, China). Indirect systolic arterial blood pressure was monitored with a Doppler ultrasound technique (ultrasonic Doppler flow detector -Model 811-B; Parks Medical Electronics, OR, USA), a cuff with a width approximately 40% of the circumference of the limb placed on the carpus (approximately level with the thoracic inlet) and sphygmomanometer. Arterial blood samples were collected from auricular artery into a pre-heparinized 1 mL syringe at 10, 35 and 70 min after induction and analyzed immediately to determine packed cell volume, hemoglobin concentration, partial pressures of oxygen (PaO₂) and carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), pH, bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) and base excess (BE) using a blood gas analyzer (OPTI Medical System Inc., USA).

All physiologic variables were recorded before evaluation of response to noxious stimulation (pedal withdrawal reflex). Five minutes after discontinuation of ketofol infusion (time 75 min from start of drug infusion), a total of 4 rabbits in each treatment were administered a specific

Table 2 Anesthetic induction and maintenance doses of an intravenous combination of ketamine (5 mg/mL) and propofol (5 mg/mL) in eight rabbits received either midazolam [treatment KPM], fentanyl [treatment KPF], dexmedetomidine [treatment KPD] or saline [treatment KPS] constant rate infusions (CRIs) for 70 min. Dose results are presented as total mg dose for both drugs. Data are presented as mean + standard deviation

anagsi bata are preseri				
Treatments	КРМ	KPF	KPD	KPS
Ketofol induction dose (mg/kg)	14.9±1.8	15.0±1.9	15.5±2.4	14.7±3.4
Ketofol maintenance dose (mg/kg/min)	1.0±0.1*	1.1 ± 0.3	1.0±0.1*	1.3±0.1

* Significantly different from saline treatment (p<0.05)

antagonist intravenously (i.e., KPM: flumazenil [Anexate[®], 0.5 mg/5 mL, Cenexi SAS, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France] 0.15 mg/kg; KPF: naloxone [0.4 mg/mL, Caspian Tamin Pharmaceutical Co, Rasht, Iran] 0.04 mg/kg; KPD: atipamezole [Antisedan[®], 5 mg/mL, Orion Pharma, Finland] $15 \mu g/kg$) and the rabbits were allowed to recover from anesthesia. Rabbits in KPS treatment received the same volume of saline. When the swallowing reflex returned, the tracheal tube was removed and the rabbits were observed continuously throughout the recovery period. The time intervals extubation, first head lift and sternal recumbency were recorded. Time to extubation was defined as the time from the end of ketofol infusion to extubation, time to first head lift was defined as the time from the end of ketofol infusion to when the rabbit could lift its head and time to sternal recumbency was defined as the time from the end of ketofol infusion to when the rabbit assumed sternal recumbency. After completion of this study, the rabbits were adopted as pet by interested students.

Statistical analysis

Based on data from a previous study [14], the required sample size was six animals to achieve an alpha value of 5% and power of 80% for detecting a large effect on maintenance doses of ketofol (G*Power 3.1.9.7; University of Düsseldorf, Germany). Normality of the data was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Physiologic data (HR, SAP, f_R, PE'CO₂, RT, SpO₂, PaO₂, PaCO₂, BE, bicarbonate concentration and pH) were analyzed using an ANOVA for repeated-measures, with time and treatment as factors. When significant treatment effects were noted, Tukey's test was used for post hoc comparisons. Ketofol dose (induction and maintenance) and recovery times were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey's test for post hoc analysis. Statistical analysis was performed with standard computer software (SPSS version 24 for Windows, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY.). Data are presented as mean±standard deviation. Statistical significance was identified at p < 0.05.

Results

Induction was rapid and excitement-free with a smooth transition to unconsciousness and all rabbits were successfully intubated following induction. Ketofol induction dose did not differ significantly among the four treatments. The total dose of ketofol used to maintain anesthesia in treatments KPM and KPD $(1.0\pm0.1 \text{ mg/kg})$ were significantly lower as compared to KPS treatment $(1.3\pm0.1 \text{ mg/kg})$ (p < 0.05). Ketofol maintenance dose was no significantly different in KPF compared to KPM, KPD and KPS treatments (Table 2). The ketofol infusion rate decreased in KPM, KPF and KPD treatments by 23%, 15% and 23%, respectively. The amount of supplemental

boluses of ketofol required to prevent voluntary movement or spontaneous swallowing during ketofol infusion was significantly higher in KPS (24 boluses $[13.2\pm8.4 \text{ mg/}$ kg]) as compared to KPM (5 boluses $[1.4\pm1.6 \text{ mg/kg}]$), KPF (19 boluses $[4.4\pm4.1 \text{ mg/kg}]$) and KPD (6 boluses $[2.1\pm3.6 \text{ mg/kg}]$) treatments (p=0.001). Administration of supplemental boluses of ketofol were required in 4 rabbits in KPM, 6 rabbits in KPF, 3 rabbits in KPD and all rabbits in KPS treatments. The number of rabbits required supplemental boluses of ketofol were significantly higher in KPS compared to the other treatments (Fisher's exact test, p=0.046).

Generally, heart rate (beats/min) increased following ketofol induction, which was significant only in KPM and KPD treatments (p < 0.05). Ten minutes after induction, HR in KPD treatment was significantly lower compared to KPS treatment (Table 3). When the data were averaged to calculate the overall mean HR during 70 min ketofol anesthesia, the lowest HR was observed with treatment KPD (197±32 beats/min) followed by treatment KPF $(209\pm38 \text{ beats/min})$, and both were significantly lower than treatment KPS (222 ± 38 beats/min) (p < 0.05). The overall mean HR was significantly higher in treatment KPM (215±32 beats/min) when compared with treatment KPD (197±32 beats/min). There were no differences among the treatments in SAP (p > 0.05). However, the overall SAP were lower in treatments KPF (105 ± 32 mmHg) and KPD (104±18 mmHg) when compared with treatments KPM (125±15 mmHg) and KPS (117±39 mmHg).

Respiratory rate (breaths/min) was significantly decreased in all treatments following induction of anesthesia with ketofol (p < 0.05) and remained lower than time 0 (baseline) throughout ketofol anesthesia. Respiratory rate was significantly lower in treatment KPF as compared to treatment KPM (at 10 to 15 min), treatment KPD (at 5 to 20 min) and treatment KPS (at 5 min) (Table 3). In addition, apnea during ketofol infusion was observed in 0, 2, 4 and 7 rabbits in KPD, KPM, KPS and KPF treatments, respectively, with a more prolonged period of apnea in KPF treatment. The occurrence of apnea was significantly higher in KPF compared to the other treatments (Fisher's exact test, p=0.002).

There were no significant difference over time among treatments in SpO₂, PaO₂, pH, HCO₃⁻ and BE. PE'CO₂ significantly increased in treatments KPM (at 65 min), in KPF (at 35 to 60 min), in KPD (at 70 min) and in KPS (at 60 to 70 min) compared with baseline values (Table 4). PE'CO₂ was significantly higher in KPF from 5 to 55 min when compared to other treatments (p < 0.05). The overall PE'CO₂ was higher in treatments KPF (48±14) compared with KPM (34±10 mmHg), KPD (29±8 mmHg) and KPS (31±9 mmHg) during the 70 min period (p=0.000). PE'CO₂ was also significantly

higher in treatment KPM than in KPD and KPS treatments. In treatment KPF, arterial pH was significantly lower (7.16±0.06) compared to KPM (7.28±0.06), KPD (7.29±0.08) and KPS (7.33±0.04) after 10 min of anesthesia and compared to KPS after 35 min of anesthesia (7.15±0.14 vs. 7.35±0.10). PaCO₂ was significantly higher in KPF treatment compared to KPS after 10 and 35 min of anesthesia.

All treatments had similar changes in rectal temperature which were decreased significantly over time in KPM (from T30 to T70), KPF (from T5 to T70), KPD (from T35 to T70) and KPS (from T30 to T70) treatments compared to baseline values (Time – 10) (p<0.05, Table 3). After discontinuation of ketofol infusion, there were no statistically significant differences among the treatments with respect to intervals to extubation, head lift and sternal recumbency (p>0.05; Table 5). Recovery times did not change following specific antagonist administration in any treatments. Recovery from anesthesia, with or without administration of antagonist, was considered smooth, calm and uneventful in all treatments and no complications were encountered during the 4-weeks observation period in the present study.

Discussion

Administration of midazolam and dexmedetomidine CRIs significantly decreased the ketofol infusion rate without significantly prolonging recovery times. Maintenance dose for ketofol was not significantly reduced in treatment KPF compared with treatment KPS. A higher infusion rate of fentanyl may be required to provide ketofol-sparing effects; however, this may increase the risk of opioid-induced ileus, a serious post-operative complication, in rabbits [27]. In a recent study, although premedication with morphine (another full µ-opioid agonist) decreased the ketofol infusion rate during maintenance of anesthesia, induction dose of ketofol was not affected by morphine administration [15]. In the present study, ketofol infusion rate decreased in KPM, KPF and KPD treatments by 23%, 15% and 23%, respectively. Terada et al. (2014) reported that dexmedetomidine CRI (3.5 μ g/ kg/hr) reduced propofol requirement by 11% in rabbits [28].

In the present study, ketofol infusion provided more stable depth of anesthesia when combined with a CRI of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine compared with rabbits administered saline, as indicated by the lower amount of ketofol required as bolus doses to prevent spontaneous movement. Premedication with medetomidine, midazolam or morphine produces similar effects in rabbits [15].

In a recent study, a similar ketofol infusion rate was used to maintain anesthesia in rabbits premedicated with intramuscular saline but infusion rates in rabbits

Table 3 He received eit	HR) HER (HR)	and respiratc am [treatmen: vresented as n	t KPM], fentar t cash + stands	ystolic arteria nyl [treatmen	II pressure (S/ It KPF], dexm	AP) and recta edetomidine	l temperatur: [treatment k	e (RT) in rabbi (PD] or saline	its (<i>n</i> =8) ana [treatment K	esthetized wi PS] infusion f(th an intrave or 70 min. Tin	nous combin ne points – 1(ation of ketaı), before indı	mine (5 mg n uction of ane:	nL ^{- 1}) and pro sthesia; 0, imi	ppofol (5 mg n mediately afte	nL ⁻¹) and r induction
Variable / Tr	eatment	Time (minut	tes) after start	ing ketofol inf	fusion and CRI	s											
		-10	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70
HR (beats min ⁻¹)																	
KPM	185±32	252±9*	$240 \pm 18^{*}$	227±27	217±38	229±27	218±27	215±24	206±25	212±26	207±30	204±34	199±29	213±37	207±36	203±35	
KPF	196±30	245 ± 20	231±31	223±28	206±35	208 ± 32	215±33	219±36	186±23	216±42	199±50	200±51	195±47	205±44	196±38	199±36	
KPD	179±28	252±11*	232±31	207±33 [‡]	201±38	205 ± 37	195 ± 38	197±37	191±37	194±34	187±37	188±36	182±39	186±41	178±47	184 土 40	
KPS	196±24	250±13	252±3	250±12	241±18	240±18	232±33	226±38	211±52	214土40	217±46	209±40	210土48	205±45	204±48	199±43	
fR (breaths min ⁻¹)																	
KPM	141±51	$23 \pm 10^{*}$	29±11*	31±17 †*	30±15 †*	$27 \pm 13^{*}$	26±13*	24±11*	24±13*	21±11*	19±10*	18±9*	17±8*	19±9*	21±7*	18±8*	
KPF	149土38	28±16*	16土14*	13 土7*	14土7*	13±6*	$15 \pm 10^{*}$	14±6*	15±9*	16±9*	19土13*	12±5*	13±6*	14±5*	14土5*	19土5*	
KPD	175±42	32±14*	35±8 † *	32±9†*	28±10 †*	30±14 †*	24±11*	$25 \pm 10^{*}$	23±9*	21±9*	20±8*	20±8*	19±10*	22±12*	17±9*	19±8*	
KPS	149±37	30 土 7*	36±11 † *	28±12*	25±8*	$21 \pm 7^{*}$	21±9*	23±15*	20±7*	$21 \pm 10^{*}$	22±9*	20±8*	21±6*	19±6*	18土7*	17±6*	
SAP																	
(mmHg)																	
KPM		138 ± 20	131±23	127±16	121±8	118±15	119±13	119±13	127±13	123±11	116±12	124±16	125±11	129±17 †	125±14	132±18	
KPF		113±35	105 ± 39	110±43	112±36	111 ± 35	112±40	117±40	110±34	107 ± 31	102±32	98±28	105±22	86±15	93±22	98±27	
KPD		108±12	109±9	104±21	102±14	97 ± 19	108 ± 18	101±23	102 ± 23	105 ± 15	104±25	104±21	97±16	102±18	109±24	106±20	
KPS		130±37	127±34	117±30	121±36	116±38	119±49	122±52	121土49	115±45	123±42	112±38	108±37	114±45	103 ± 33	104±32	
RT (°C)																	
KPM	39.2±0.4	38.7±0.6	38.7±0.5	38.6 ± 0.6	38.5±0.6	38.4±0.6	38.3 ± 0.6	$38.2 \pm 0.6^{*}$	38.1±0.6*	$38.0 \pm 0.6^{*}$	37.9±0.6*	37.9±0.5*	37.8±0.5*	37.7±0.6*	$37.6 \pm 0.6^{*}$	37.6±0.5*	
KPF	39.1±0.3	38.7±0.4	38.4±0.4*	$38.3 \pm 0.4^{*}$	38.2±0.4*	38.1±0.3*	38.0±0.4*	37.9±0.3*	37.8±0.3*	37.7±0.4*	37.6±0.4*	37.5±0.4*	37.4±0.4*	37.3±0.4*	37.3±0.4*	37.2±0.5*	
KPD	38.9±0.4	38.5±0.5	38.4 ± 0.5	38.3 ± 0.5	38.1±0.6	38.0±0.7	37.9±0.7	37.8±0.7	37.7±0.7*	37.6±0.7*	37.6±0.7*	37.5±0.7*	37.4±0.7*	37.3±0.7*	37.3±0.7*	37.2±0.7*	
KPS	38.9±0.3	38.4±0.4	38.4 ± 0.4	38.2 ± 0.5	38.1±0.4	38.0±0.4	37.9±0.5	37.8±0.5*	37.7±0.6*	$37.6 \pm 0.6^{*}$	$37.5 \pm 0.6^{*}$	37.4±0.7*	37.4±0.7*	$37.3 \pm 0.0.7*$	37.2±0.7*	37.1±0.8*	
* Significant	tly different fr	om – 10 minut	es within the s	same treatmei	nt (p<0.05)												
† Significani	tly different fi	om fentanyl tr	eatment (p<0	.05)													
# Significant	tly different fr	om saline trea	tment (<i>p</i> <0.05	5)													

MC Vete	erino	ary l
	n of ketamine (5 mg mL) and propotol (5 mg mL ¹) and sfore induction of anacthecis. 0 immediately after induction	בוסוב וווממכנוסון סו מורכזנורכזומ ס' ווווו וובמומנבוץ מוגבו וווממכנוסו

Table 4 Hemoglobin oxygen saturation (SpO ₂), end-tidal carbon dioxide (P _E ·CO ₂), pH, arterial pressure of oxygen (PaO ₂), arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCC occentration of the carbon dioxide (PaC)) and propose of carbon dioxide (PaC) and propose of the carbon dioxide (PaC) and the carbon dioxide (PaC) and propose of the carbon dioxide (PaC) and the carbon dioxide (PaC)
eceived either midazolam [treatment KPM], fentanyl [treatment KPF], dexmedetomidine [treatment KPD] or saline [treatment KPS] infusion for 70 min. Time point 0, immediate
nduction of anesthesia. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation

induction of anesth	iesia. Data	are pre	sented as n	nean±star	idard devi	ation										
Variable / Ireatment	= o	Je (minu 5	utes) atter st	arting ketc 10	15	n and LKI: 20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	09	65	20
SpO,(%)																
KPM 99	±1 98±	±2 99	9±1	99 ± 1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99 ± 1	99±1	99±1	99±1	98±2	
KPF 96	±4 96±	±4 9⁄	4±6	97±3	98±1	96±4	98±2	98±2	98±2	98±1	96±3	97±3	98±2	98±2	98±1	
KPD 98	±2 98±	±2 99	9±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99 ± 1	99±1	99±1	99±1	99±1	
KPS 98	±1 96±	±4 9(5土4	97±3	98±1	99±1	99±1	98±2	99±1	99±1	98±2	98±2	99±1	99±1	99±1	
P _E CO ₂ (mmHg)																
KPM 28	±10 29±	±10 27	7±3	29±5	30±5	33土4	33±5	37±9	36±12	35 ± 2	36 土 4	35±9	41±9	$44 \pm 16^{*}$	44±15	
KPF 29	±8 41±	±8‡ 4ź	2±8‡	46 土 12 ‡	45±12‡	$45 \pm 8 \ddagger$	49 土 10‡	55±16‡*	54±13‡*	$60 \pm 22 \pm^{*}$	$53 \pm 14^{+*}$	52±9‡*	54±12*	51 ± 12	47±10	
KPD 24	±7 22±	±5 22	2±7	24±4	26土4	28±5	29±5	31±4	32±7	33±8	29±7	34土10	34±10	36±9	37±9*	
KPS 21	±3 25 ±	±6 27	7±5	27±7	28±6	29±5	28±9	32±6	33±8	35±10	34±8	33±7	37±13*	36±11*	37±11*	
РН																
KPM		7	28 ± 0.06					7.29±0.09							7.24 ± 0.06	
KPF		7.	$16 \pm 0.06 \ddagger$					7.15±0.14§							7.31 ± 0.08	
KPD		7	29 ± 0.08					7.30±0.09							7.30 ± 0.03	
KPS		7	33±0.04					7.35 ± 0.10							7.27 ± 0.08	
PaO ₂ (mmHg)																
KPM		26	50±68					214±80							239±74	
KPF		<u>, -</u>	33±70					227 ± 71							232 ± 102	
KPD		2.	11 ± 85					229±67							181 ± 62	
KPS		2(J2±67					218±84							218±83	
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)																
KPM		45	8.3±7.0					53.8 ± 18.9							58.1 ± 12.6	
KPF		5	7.7±15.2§					69.9±16.0§							53.6 ± 13.8	
KPD		4	3.8±5.1					50.7 ± 17.1							53.3±4.7	
KPS		4(J.6±3.1					42.2±14.0							59.8 ± 20.7	
HCO ₃ (mmol/L)																
KPM		2.	1.5 ± 1.6					23.9±3.3							24.1±4.6	
KPF		1	9.6±4.2					25.4±6.3							26.2±4.4	
KPD		2(J.5±4.1					23.6±3.7							25.9±3.5	
KPS		2.	1.0±2.1					22.1±3.3							25.9±4.6	
BE (mmol/L)																
KPM		7	4.8±1.5					-2.8±1.5							-3.6±4.2	
KPF		4	8.7±3.8					-4.2±4.6							-0.5 ± 3.9	

/ariable / Treatment	Time (minutes) after	· starting ke	etofol infus	ion and Cl	RIs									
	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70
(PD		-5.6±4.8					-2.9±3.0							-1.1±3.1	
(PS		-4.1 ± 2.5					-3.0 ± 3.0							-1.6 ± 2.9	
Significantly different fron	n induction	time (Time 0) wit	thin the same	e treatment (p<0.05)										

Significantly different from other treatments (p<0.05)

Table 4 (continued)

ssignificantly different from saline treatment (p < 0.05)

premedicated with medetomidine, midazolam or morphine were in the range of $0.6 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg/kg/min}$ [15]. However, in that study, a higher dose of drugs were used as intramuscular premedication (midazolam 1 mg/kg, morphine 1 mg/kg and medetomidine 100 µg/kg) compared to the present study (loading dose plus CRI: midazolam 0.6 mg/kg, fentanyl 12 μ g/kg and dexmedetomidine 6 μ g/ kg), which may have contributed to the relatively lower maintenance dose of ketofol needed in that study.

The overall mean HR were significantly lower in treatments KPF and KPD compared to treatment KPS. A lower mean HR in KPF compared to KPS could be attributed to infusion of fentanyl, a short-acting full μ opioid agonist, that causes bradycardia through medullary vagal stimulation [29, 30]. A significant decrease in heart rate was observed following repeated boluses of fentanyl (5 mg/kg IV) in healthy rabbits anesthetized with propofol [31]. Vagally-induced bradycardias can be reversed by the administration of anticholinergics such as atropine and glycopyrrolate without affecting the analgesia, especially when bradycardia is associated with hypotension [32]. Atropine may not be as effective as glycopyrrolate because of its rapid metabolism via a plasma esterase, atropinase, in rabbits [33]. A significant reduction in HR has been reported following intramuscular administration of dexmedetomidine-ketamine compared to midazolam-ketamine in rabbits [34]. Dexmedetomidine lowers the HR due to a baroreceptor (vagally)-mediated reflex and decreased sympathetic tone [35]. The ketamine component of ketofol may have counteracted some of the bradycardic effects produced by fentanyl and dexmedetomidine through its sympathomimetic activity [3].

Lower mean SAP was observed in KPF and KPD treatments as compared to KPM and KPS treatments. Fentanyl-induced hypotension is generally due to reduction in HR rather than peripheral vasodilation and pre-medication with anticholinergics could be expected to prevent hypotension [36]. Biphasic blood pressure changes (initial hypertension followed by prolonged hypotension) usually occurs after α_2 -agonists administration [37]. Hypotensive phase is due to decreased vascular resistance with continued bradycardia.

Marked reduction in $f_{\rm R}$ following anesthetic induction can be explained by the respiratory depressant effect of ketofol at the medullary respiratory center [16], which is thought to be dose-dependent [13]. Although the respiratory rate was decreased in all treatments, hypoxemia was prevented by high-inspired oxygen provided during anesthesia. The higher $P_{E'}CO_2$ encountered during ketofol anesthesia in KPF treatment can be attributed to the profound respiratory depressant effects of opioids [20, 30]. Fentanyl has an additive respiratory depressant effect when used in combination with other anesthetics that may result in severe hypercarbia or even apnea [36].

Table 5 Recovery times in rabbits (n = 8) anaesthetized with an intravenous combination of ketamine (5 mg/mL) and propofol (5 mg/mL) and received either midazolam [treatment KPM], fentanyl [treatment KPF], dexmedetomidine [treatment KPD] or saline [treatment KPS] infusion for 70 min. Variables were timed from the end of ketofol infusion. Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation

Variable	КРМ	KPF	KPD	KPS
Time to extubation (minutes)	10.3±5.6	6.6±2.4	8.6±4.3	10.0±3.5
Time to head lift (minutes)	23.5±9.9	17.3±6.8	20.1±9.7	25.2±6.2
Time to sternal re- cumbency (minutes)	50.3±15.3	39.8±17.3	46.5±18.1	49.0±6.7

More rabbits in KPF treatment occasionally required manual ventilation during anesthetic maintenance due to apnea. On average, rabbits in KPD treatment tended to have a higher f_R and a lower $P_{E'}CO_2$ when compared to other treatments. The respiratory depressant effect of α_2 -agonists is considered to be minimal in healthy animals [38]. Lower pH values that observed in treatment KPF was most likely of respiratory origin, because this change was accompanied by increased $P_{E'}CO_2$ (hypercarbia).

In the present study, despite application of an external heat source to minimize temperature loss during anesthesia, a small but significant reduction in rectal temperature was observed in all treatments, with a longer duration in rabbits given KPF treatment. Perianesthetic hypothermia as a common complication in small animal patients occurs mainly due to heat loss in excess to metabolic heat production or resetting of thermoregulatory mechanisms. When severe, hypothermia may lead to a significant reduction of anesthetic requirement, prolonged recovery, surgical wound infection and cardiac arrhythmias [39]. Therefore, use of externally applied supplemental heat source is recommended in the perioperative period.

Since administration of specific antagonists did not result in shortening the recovery times, the antagonist doses used might have been insufficient to reverse agonist drugs in rabbits. Another possible explanation may be that the residual effects of ketofol infusion are the principal determinant of recovery times. Although ketamine metabolism to its active metabolite norketamine occurs primarily in the liver, extensive extrahepatic metabolism may also occur in rabbit's lungs [40]. Norketamine is metabolized more slowly than the parent drug and can potentially accumulate and influence recovery time after prolonged infusions. It is also possible that the active metabolites of some drugs used as CRI may be responsible for prolonged recovery times in the present study. In a recent study, a prolonged sedation unresponsive to flumazenil administration has been reported following midazolam infusion in sevoflurane-anesthetized cats, which could be attributed to the accumulation of active metabolite of midazolam (1-hydroxymidazolam), that peaked after stopping the infusion [41].

Flumazenil, naloxone and atipamezole are considered competitive antagonists and timing of their administration may be another factor influencing the recovery times. In other words, the dose of antagonist drugs may need to be adjusted according to the time delay following administration of agonist drugs. In the present study, antagonists were administered at 15 min after termination of agonist CRI, which corresponds to 5 min after termination of ketofol infusion. However, different timing of atipamezole administration (20 vs. 40 min) in cats anesthetized with intramuscular ketamine-buprenorphinemidazolam-medetomidine did not result in significant differences in recovery times [42]. Further studies are required to establish the optimum dosage and timing of administration of agonists (flumazenil, naloxone and atipamezole) in rabbits undergoing ketofol anesthesia supplemented with CRIs of benzodiazepines, opioids or α_2 -agonists CRIs.

Although it is generally recommended to administer atipamezole intramuscularly to prevent arterial hypotension [36], in the present study intravenous route was chosen to bypass tissue absorption and eliminate inter-individual variation that may occur from unequal absorption of atipamezole. In addition, other agonists (i.e., flumazenil and naloxone) were also administered IV. Reversal of α_2 -agonists or opioids by selective antagonist also reverses any remaining associated analgesic effects. Therefore, in surgical cases, suitable analgesia should be provided with other drugs before antagonists are administered.

Conclusion

In the present study, midazolam and dexmedetomidine, at the doses used, reduced ketofol infusion rates in New Zealand White rabbits and may prove to be a useful adjunct during anesthesia in this species. Fentanyl CRI did not significantly reduced ketofol infusion rates and was associated with respiratory depression and apnea. Further studies are needed to evaluate the potential cardiovascular benefits of midazolam, fentanyl or dexmedetomidine during surgery and to determine the optimal dose regimen for midazolam, fentanyl and dexmedetomidine CRIs in terms of anesthetic-sparing effects in rabbits.

Abbreviations

BE	Base excess
CRI	Constant rate infusion
HCO3-	Bicarbonate
LD	Loading dose
PaCO ₂	Partial pressures of carbon dioxide
PaO ₂	Partial pressures of oxygen
PE'CO.	End-tidal carbon dioxide tension

RT Rectal temperature

- SAP Systolic arterial blood pressure
- SpO₂ Oxygen saturation of hemoglobin
- TIVA Total intravenous anesthesia

Acknowledgements

None.

Author contributions

Arghavan Mofidi and Nasser Vesal contributed equally to the study design, study performance and data collection, and preparation of the manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by Grant No. 1399-GR-VT-29 from Research Council of Shiraz University.

Data availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This experimental study was approved by Shiraz University Animal Care and Use Committee (Protocol number 9560918/2020). All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71441-69155, Iran

Received: 17 October 2023 / Accepted: 4 June 2024

Published online: 08 June 2024

References

- Comolli J, Schnellbacher R, Beaufrere H, Blas–Machado U, Quandt J, Mayer J, Divers SJ. Comparison of endoscopic endotracheal intubation and the v–gel supraglottic airway device for spontaneously ventilating New Zealand white rabbits undergoing ovariohysterectomy. Vet Rec. 2020;187(10):e84.
- Berry SH. Injectable anesthetics. In: Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ, Greene SA, Robertson SA, editors. Veterinary anesthesia and analgesia: the Fifth Edition of Lumb and Jones. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA; 2015. pp. 277–96.
- Cardoso CG, Ayer IM, Jorge AT, Honsho CS, Mattos-Junior E. A comparative study of the cardiopulmonary and sedative effects of a single intramuscular dose of ketamine anesthetic combinations in rabbits. Res Vet Sci. 2020;128:177–82.
- Aeschbacher G, Webb AI. Propofol in rabbits. 2. Long-term anesthesia. Lab Anim Sci. 1993;43(4):328–35.
- Kogan A, Efrat R, Katz J, Vidne BA. Propofol-ketamine mixture for anesthesia in pediatric patients undergoing cardiac catheterization. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2003;17(6):691–3.
- Smischney NJ, Beach ML, Loftus RW, Dodds TM, Koff MD. Ketamine/propofol admixture (ketofol) is associated with improved hemodynamics as an induction agent: a randomized, controlled trial. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2012;73(1):94–101.
- Lerche P, Reid J, Nolan AM. Comparative study of propofol or propofol and ketamine for the induction of anaesthesia in dogs. Vet Rec. 2000;146(20):571–4.
- Henao-Guerrero N, Riccó CH. Comparison of the cardiorespiratory effects of a combination of ketamine and propofol, propofol alone, or a combination of ketamine and diazepam before and after induction of anesthesia

- Martinez-Taboada F, Leece EA. Comparison of propofol with ketofol, a propofol-ketamine admixture, for induction of anaesthesia in healthy dogs. Vet Anaesth Analg. 2014;41(6):575–82.
- Kennedy MJ, Smith LJ. A comparison of cardiopulmonary function, recovery quality, and total dosages required for induction and total intravenous anesthesia with propofol versus a propofol–ketamine combination in healthy Beagle dogs. Vet Anaesth Analg. 2015;42(4):350–9.
- Ravasio G, Gallo M, Beccaglia M, Comazzi S, Gelain ME, Fonda D, Bronzo V, Zonca A. Evaluation of a ketamine-propofol drug combination with or without dexmedetomidine for intravenous anesthesia in cats undergoing ovariectomy. J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2012;241(10):1307–13.
- Umar MA, Yamashita K, Kushiro T, Muir WW. Evaluation of cardiovascular effects of total intravenous anesthesia with propofol or a combination of ketamine-medetomidine-propofol in horses. Am J Vet Res. 2007;68(2):121–7.
- Santos M, Viñuela A, Vela AA, Tendillo FJ. Single–syringe ketamine–propofol for induction of anaesthesia in rabbits. Vet Anaesth Analg. 2016;43(5):561–5.
- Yanmaz LE, Doğan E, Şenocak MG, Ersöz U, Okur S. Comparison the Effect of different ratios of ketamine and propofol (Ketofol) admixture on Anesthesia induction in New Zealand white rabbits. Atatürk Üniversitesi Veteriner Bilimleri Dergisi. 2020;15(3):251–6.
- Hashemi SR, Vesal N. Ketamine–propofol for total intravenous anaesthesia in rabbits: a comparison of premedication with acepromazine–medetomidine, acepromazine–midazolam or acepromazine–morphine. Vet Anaesth Analg. 2023;50(3):263–72.
- Cruz FS, Carregaro AB, Raiser AG, Zimmerman M, Lukarsewski R, Steffen RP. Total intravenous anesthesia with propofol and S (+)-ketamine in rabbits. Vet Anaesth Analg. 2010;37(2):116–22.
- 17. Loh G, Dalen D. Low-dose ketamine in addition to propofol for procedural sedation and analgesia in the emergency department. Ann Pharmacother. 2007;41(3):485–92.
- Andolfatto G, Willman E. A prospective case series of pediatric procedural sedation and analgesia in the emergency department using single– syringe ketamine–propofol combination (Ketofol). Acad Emerg Med. 2010;17(2):194–201.
- Gianotti G, Valverde A, Sinclair M, Dyson DH, Gibson T, Johnson R. Prior determination of baseline minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of isoflurane does not influence the effect of ketamine on MAC in rabbits. Can J Vet Res. 2012;76(4):261–7.
- Hedenqvist P, Edner A, Fahlman Å, Jensen-Waern M. Continuous intravenous anaesthesia with sufentanil and midazolam in medetomidine premedicated New Zealand White rabbits. BMC Vet Res. 2013;9:21.
- Schnellbacher RW, Carpenter JW, Mason DE, KuKanich B, Beaufrère H, Boysen C. Effects of lidocaine administration via continuous rate infusion on the minimum alveolar concentration of isoflurane in New Zealand White rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Am J Vet Res. 2013;74(11):1377–84.
- 22. Barter LS, Hawkins MG, Pypendop BH. Effects of fentanyl on isoflurane minimum alveolar concentration in New Zealand White rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Am J Vet Res. 2015;76(2):111–5.
- Tearney CC, Barter LS, Pypendop BH. Cardiovascular effects of equipotent doses of isoflurane alone and isoflurane plus fentanyl in New Zealand White rabbits (Oryctolagus cuniculus). Am J Vet Res. 2015;76(7):591–8.
- Reupke V, Walliser K, Perl T, Kimmina S, Schraepler A, Quintel M, Kunze-Szikszay N. Total intravenous anaesthesia using propofol and sufentanil allows controlled long-term ventilation in rabbits without neuromuscular blocking agents. Lab anim. 2017;51(3):284–91.
- Whittem Ted, Beths T, Bauquier SH. General Pharmacology of Anesthetic and analgesic drugs. In: Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ, Greene SA, Robertson SA, editors. Veterinary anesthesia and analgesia: the Fifth Edition of Lumb and Jones. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA; 2015. pp. 147–77.
- Reed RA, Seddighi MR, Cox SK, Egger CM, Doherty TJ. Effect of ketamine on the minimum infusion rate of propofol needed to prevent motor movement in dogs. Am J Vet Res. 2015;76(12):1022–30.
- Johnston M. Rabbit-and ferret-specific considerations. In: Gaynor JS, Muir WW III, editors. Handbook of Veterinary Pain Management. 3rd ed. Missouri, USA: Elsevier Inc.; 2015. pp. 517–35.
- Terada Y, Ishiyama T, Asano N, Kotoda M, Ikemoto K, Shintani N, Sessler DI, Matsukawa T. Optimal doses of sevoflurane and propofol in rabbits. BMC Res Notes. 2014;7(1):820.

- Gil AG, Silván G, Martínez-Fernández L, Illera JC. Effects of different fentanyl anaesthetic mixtures on cortico-adrenal function in rabbits. Vet Rec. 2013;172(8):213.
- KuKanich B, Wiese AJ. Opioids. In: Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ, Greene SA, Robertson SA, editors. Veterinary anesthesia and analgesia: the Fifth Edition of Lumb and Jones. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA; 2015. pp. 207–26.
- Baumgartner CM, Koenighaus H, Ebner JK, Henke J, Schuster T, Erhardt WD. Cardiovascular effects of fentanyl and propofol on hemodynamic function in rabbits. Am J Vet Res. 2009;70(3):409–17.
- 32. Dyson DH, James-Davies R. Dose effect and benefits of glycopyrrolate in the treatment of bradycardia in anesthetized dogs. Can Vet J. 1999;40(5):327.
- Gardhouse S, Sanchez A. Rabbit sedation and anesthesia. Vet Clin North Am Exot Anim Pract. 2022;25(1):181–210.
- Bellini L, Banzato T, Contiero B, Zotti A. Evaluation of sedation and clinical effects of midazolam with ketamine or dexmedetomidine in pet rabbits. Vet Rec. 2014;175(15):372.
- Murrell JC, Hellebrekers LJ. Medetomidine and dexmedetomidine: a review of cardiovascular effects and antinociceptive properties in the dog. Vet Anaesth Analg. 2005;32(3):117–27.
- McDonell WN, Kerr CL. Physiology, pathophysiology, and anesthetic management of patients with respiratory disease. In: Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ, Greene SA, Robertson SA, editors. Veterinary anesthesia and analgesia: the Fifth Edition of Lumb and Jones. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA; 2015. pp. 511–55.
- Rankin DC. Sedatives and tranquilizers. In: Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ, Greene SA, Robertson SA, editors. Veterinary anesthesia and analgesia:

the Fifth Edition of Lumb and Jones. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA; 2015. pp. 196–206.

- Nguyen D, Abdul-Rasool I, Ward D, Hsieh J, Kobayashi D, Hadlock S, Singer F, Bloor B. Ventilatory effects of dexmedetomidine, atipamezole, and isoflurane in dogs. Anesthesiology. 1992;76(4):573–9.
- Grimm KA. Perioperative Thermoregulation and heat balance. In: Grimm KA, Lamont LA, Tranquilli WJ, Greene SA, Robertson SA, editors. Veterinary anesthesia and analgesia: the Fifth Edition of Lumb and Jones. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, USA; 2015. pp. 372–9.
- Björkman S, Redke F. Clearance of fentanyl, alfentanil, methohexitone, thiopentone and ketamine in relation to estimated hepatic blood flow in several animal species: application to prediction of clearance in man. J Pharma Pharmacol. 2000;52(9):1065–74.
- Dholakia U, Seddighi R, Odunayo A, Cox SK, Jones EH, Pypendop BH. Prolonged anesthetic recovery after continuous infusion of midazolam in 2 domestic cats (Felis catus). Comp Med. 2019;69(4):321–6.
- Bruniges N, Yates D. Effects of atipamezole dosage and timing of administration on recovery time and quality in cats following injectable anaesthesia incorporating ketamine. J Feline Med Surg. 2020;22(6):589–97.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.