# RESEARCH

**BMC Veterinary Research** 

**Open Access** 

# A survey of gastrointestinal helminth infestation in smallholder backyard pigs and the first molecular identification of the two zoonotic helminths *Ascaris suum* and *Trichuris suis* in Myanmar

Saw Bawm<sup>1,2\*†</sup>, Lat Lat Htun<sup>1†</sup>, Hla Myet Chel<sup>1†</sup>, Yadanar Khaing<sup>3</sup>, Myint Myint Hmoon<sup>1</sup>, Su Su Thein<sup>1</sup>, Shwe Yee Win<sup>1</sup>, Nyein Chan Soe<sup>1</sup>, Yu Nandi Thaw<sup>1</sup>, Naoki Hayashi<sup>4</sup>, Mar Mar Win<sup>5</sup>, Nariaki Nonaka<sup>4</sup>, Ken Katakura<sup>4</sup> and Ryo Nakao<sup>4</sup>

# Abstract

**Background** Parasitic infestations have a substantial economic impact on pig production. This study aimed to investigate the gastrointestinal (GI) helminths in pigs and to molecularly characterise two important nematodes, *Ascaris* and *Trichuris* species.

**Materials and methods** A total of 500 pig faecal samples were collected from small holder backyard pig farms in five townships within Nay Pyi Taw, Myanmar. Microscopic examination was conducted to estimate the prevalence of GI helminth infestation in the pigs. DNA extraction and PCR were performed on faecal samples that were morphologically positive for *Ascaris* and *Trichuris* eggs. Molecular analysis was then conducted to characterise *A. suum* and *T. suis*, the most common and zoonotic helminths.

**Results** According to microscopic examination, 69.2% (346/500) were positive for GI helminth eggs. The GI helminth species observed were *A. suum*, Strongyle, *Strongyloides* spp., *T. suis*, *Metastrongylus* spp., *Hyostrongylus* spp., *Fasciolopsis* spp., *Paragonimus* spp., and *Schistosoma* spp., with occurrences of 34.8%, 29.6%, 21.4%, 20.0%, 4.0%, 1.6%, 1.0%, 1.0%, and 0.4%, respectively. Mixed infections of GI helminths were noted in 31.0% of the samples. Overall, sampled pigs excreted mostly low levels (< 100 EPG) or moderate levels (> 100–500 EPG) of GI helminth eggs. The highest mean EPG for each parasite species was noted in *A. suum*. The presence of *A. suum* and *T. suis* was confirmed molecularly. The sequences of the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) region of *A. suum* showed high similarity with previously reported sequences. Likewise, the sequences of *T. suis* exhibited high similarity with the sequences reported from humans and pigs. Age was noted as an associated factor (*P*<0.05) for GI helminth infection status.

<sup>†</sup>Saw Bawm, Lat Lat Htun and Hla Myet Chel contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence: Saw Bawm bestshadow@gmail.com; sawvet@uvs.edu.mm Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.0/.

**Conclusions** In this report, *A. suum* and *T. suis* were molecularly identified for the first time in Myanmar. It is important to extend the information among the farmers to be aware of the necessity of preventing zoonotic parasites by practicing regular deworming, proper use of anthelmintics and maintaining hygienic conditions in their pig farms.

Keywords Ascaris suum, Trichuris suis, Backyard pigs, Helminth parasites, Myanmar

# Background

Pig productivity is under threat due to a wide range of pig diseases. Infectious diseases have a substantial economic impact on pig production because they can reduce productivity and reproduction, as well as increase morbidity and mortality [1]. Parasites are frequently reported as the cause of diseases in pigs, particularly in tropical regions. Among parasitic diseases, gastrointestinal (GI) parasites are responsible for substantial loss of productivity in pigs in terms of inefficient feed conversion, poor growth rate, intestinal malabsorption, reduced weight gain, decreased litter size delayed or incomplete immunity subsequent to vaccinations, negative effects on meat quality and the condemnation of affected organs after slaughter [2]. Additionally, several swine parasites can be transmitted from pigs to humans, posing a significant hazard for the producer [3].

The most common helminth infestations in humans and pigs around the world are caused by nematode worms of the genera Ascaris and Trichuris [4]. Some parasites, such as Ascaris suum and Trichuris suis, are widespread in pigs and can infect both humans and pigs [4, 5]. The worms that infect pigs and humans are morphologically similar and difficult to distinguish due to a lack of distinct characteristics [5]. Infection with A. suum may result in production losses due to altered carcass composition, lower weight gain, and liver condemnation [6]. Furthermore, the level of natural worm crosstransmission between pig and human hosts is unknown; nevertheless, experimental cross-infections have proven that A. suum can infect humans, and human zoonotic cases have been reported [5, 7, 8]. Infection with T. suis (whipworm) in pigs can result in anorexia and bloody diarrhoea in growing pigs, which can lead to economic losses [9]. Because of the similarities among Trichuris species, morphological differentiation is very difficult. Moreover, the degree of natural Trichuris cross-transmission between people and pigs is unknown [5]. Although adult worms rarely persist, investigations on experimental infection have shown that human whipworm T. trichiura can establish in pigs, whereas patent T. suis infection has been noted in humans [5]. Because species discrimination by egg morphology is challenging, the development of molecular approaches for species identification and diversity evaluation is extremely beneficial [10]. Several molecular markers have been used for the identification of *Ascaris* and *Trichuris* spp., such as nucleotide sequences of internal transcribed spacers 1 and 2 (ITS1 and ITS2) [11, 12].

The pig population in Myanmar in 2021 was estimated to be approximately 6.8 million [13]. Approximately 86% of the Myanmar population resides in rural areas and is involved in agro-livestock production and agro-industrial work. Most pig farmers in Myanmar prefer backyard farming due to its simplicity and low cost, generally with intensive or semi-intense methods [14]. Even though pig production in Myanmar contributes to farmers maintaining a sustainable livelihood, there are many challenges to maintaining productivity, profitability and sustainability. One of these challenges is parasitic infestation. Although our recent study demonstrated the occurrence of Cystoisospora infection in pigs in Myanmar [15], data on helminth infestation are very limited. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the GI helminths in pigs and to molecularly characterize two important nematodes, Ascaris and Trichuris species, in the Nay Pyi Taw area, Myanmar.

# Results

### Parasite detection rate

The overall occurrence of GI helminth infestation among pigs was 69.2% (346/500). The helminth species observed were A. suum, Strongyle, Strongyloides spp., T. suis, Metastrongylus spp., Hyostrongylus spp., Fasciolopsis spp., Paragonimus spp., and Schistosoma spp. (Fig. S1 and Table 1). Overall, 31.0% of examined pigs were found to be infested with more than one GI helminth species. The occurrence of GI helminths was found to be the lowest (52.0%) in Lewe township and the highest (85.0%) in Pyinmana township. The mean eggs per gram of faeces (EPGs) for A. suum, Strongyle spp., Strongyloides spp. and T. suis were 172.7, 40.4, 27.8 and 20.5, respectively, and the mean EPGs of overall helminth species were 307.0, 214.4, 225.9, 496.7, and 63.0 in Zay Yar Thi Ri, Tatkon, Pyinmana, Pobba Thi Ri and Lewe Townships, respectively (Fig. 1).

# Distribution of GI helminth infestation among age groups, feeding systems, animal housing floors, hygiene conditions and associated factors

The mean EPG was 261.4 (ranging from 16.7 to 13,800) for overall helminth infestation in this study. The mean

**Table 1** Occurrence of GI helminth infestation in pigs in Nay Pyi Taw area (n = 500)

No		Occurrence %	Total positive number
Parasit	e species		
1	Ascaris spp.	34.8	174
2	Strongyle spp.	29.6	148
3	Strongyloides spp.	21.4	107
4	Trichuris spp.	20.0	100
5	Metastrongylus spp.	4.0	20
6	Hyostrongylus spp.	1.6	8
7	Fasciolopsis spp.	1.0	5
8	Paragonimus spp.	1.0	5
9	Schistosoma spp.	0.4	2
10	Macracanthorhynchus spp.	0.2	1
Distrib	oution among township		
1	Pyinmana	85	85
2	Tatkon	77	77
3	Pobba Thi Ri	76	76
4	Zay Yar Thi Ri	56	56
5	Lewe	52	52
	Overall	69.2	346

EPG (Mean ± SD) values in age groups were  $1031 \pm 2,023$  (Median = 225) in weaners,  $429 \pm 1177$  (Median = 90) in growers and  $646 \pm 1862$  (Median = 143) in adults. Among the age groups, the weaner group showed the highest EPG when compared to the other age groups (P<0.05) (Fig. 2). Although not significantly different, the highest intensity of helminth infection was found in pigs fed local feed ( $827 \pm 1,946$  EPG and median = 150), followed by pigs fed mixed feed ( $573 \pm 1640$  EPG and median = 1,640)

and pigs fed commercial feed (306±264 EPG and median = 330). In this study, a higher intensity of helminth infestation was found in farms with ground floors  $(799 \pm 1,525 \text{ EPG} \text{ and median} = 150)$ , and a lower intensity was found in farms with concrete floors ( $643 \pm 1,836$ EPG and median=120). Furthermore, a higher intensity of helminth infestation was found in farms with no hygiene practices (799 $\pm$ 1,525 EPG and median=150) than in farms with hygiene practices (643±1,836 EPG and median=120) (Fig. S2). According to the chi-square test, age was identified as the only factor associated (P < 0.05) with the occurrence of GI helminth infestations in pigs in this study (P=0.026,  $\chi^2=7.306$ ). Breed, anthelmintic treatment, floor type, feed type and hygienic condition of the farm were not associated with the occurrence of GI helminth infestations.

#### Molecular identification of Ascaris suum and Trichuris suis

PCR was performed on 15 samples from each of the A. suum- and T. suis-positive samples by microscopic examination. Sequencing was performed on three samples with a targeted size of ~515 bp for the A suum-specific ITS1 PCR products. The obtained nucleotide sequences were all clustered together with A. suum sequences available in the database (Fig. 3), with being 99.6 to 100% identical to A. suum from pigs in Japan (AB576592, AB571302 and AB110022), China (HQ721825) and Thailand (MF358944), and one from humans in Lao PDR (MF358943). Three samples were used for sequencing of the T. suis-specific ITS2 PCR products with a targeted size of ~ 635 bp. The obtained nucleotide sequences were all clustered together with T. suis sequences available in the database (Fig. 4), showing 100% identity to the sequences of T. suis from pigs in Egypt (MN967779) and 99.5%, 99.1%, and 98.7% identities to T. suis sequences



Fig. 1 EPG of the most common GI helminth species (Ascaris sp., Strongyle, Trichuris sp. and Strongyloides spp.) observed in five townships



**Fig. 2** The intensity of helminth infestation (EPG) was higher (P < 0.05) in weaners than in growers and adults. The top and bottom horizontal lines of the boxplots represent the first and third quartiles of the data range, respectively. The medians are shown by middle horizontal lines, and the data range is shown by vertical lines, with outliers plotted as points. The notches of each boxplot are approximate 95% confidence intervals of medians



**Fig. 3** The phylogenetic relationship of partial ITS1 sequences of *Ascaris suum* detected in this study and reference sequences. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the maximum likelihood method based on the Tamura-Nei model. The bold taxa represent the sequences obtained from the current study. The GenBank accession number of each sequence is given. Bootstrap values were computed independently for the purposes of 1000 replicates



**Fig. 4** The phylogenetic relationship of partial ITS2 sequences of *Trichuris suis* detected in this study and reference sequences. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by the maximum likelihood method based on the Tamura-Nei model. The bold taxa represent the sequences obtained from the current study. The GenBank accession number of each sequence is given. Bootstrap values were computed independently for the purposes of 1000 replicates

from pigs in China (MG656441, AM993015 and AM993007), Uganda (JN181800), and Spain (AJ249966), respectively (Table 2).

# Discussion

Pigs are frequently affected by helminth parasites worldwide in all types of production systems. The findings of this study were the first to reveal the occurrence of GI helminths in pigs in Myanmar as well as the molecular identification of pig helminths. This study found a higher occurrence of 62.9% compared to 56.95% in Rajasthan district, India [16], and 37.5% in Aizawl district of Mizoram, India [17]. The differences in the infection rates were attributed to factors such as breed, geographical condition, climate, hygiene and faecal examination techniques [18]. The higher occurrence could be attributed to the fact that all of the farms enrolled in this study were smallholder farms with poor hygienic conditions. Many investigations stated that the spread of intestinal helminths in pigs raised using traditional systems was due to poor hygiene, poor nutrition and inadequate anthelmintic interventions [19, 20].

Among the helminths observed in this study, *A. suum* was the dominant species, with an occurrence of 34.8% and the highest mean EPG of 173. Although the prevalence varies greatly with the environment and production

system, *A. suum* is the most or second most common intestinal species in farmed pigs globally [6). The higher prevalence of *Ascaris* sp. was also investigated in Denmark (88%) [21], the Netherlands (72.7%) [22], Nagaland, India (65.5%) [23], Vietnam (51%) [24], Nepal (45.0%) [25], South Africa (44.5%) [26], Burkina Faso (40%) [18], and China (36.7%) [27]. A lower prevalence was reported in India (11.1–33.3%) [28, 29], Kenya (28.7%) [19], and Rwanda (10.6%) [30].

According to Băieş et al. [31], *A. suum* is the most common endoparasite of swine in most countries and is one of the most economically important parasites. Thus, the highest occurrence of *Ascaris* observed in this study should be considered for worm control, although the sampled pigs were apparently healthy. Moreover, the zoonotic potential of *Ascaris* should also be considered because its occurrence (34.8%) in the present study was high. Among the ten helminth species observed, *Strongyloides* spp., *Trichuris* sp., *Fasciolopsis* spp., and *Schistosoma* spp. are zoonotic helminths with occurrences of 21.4%, 20%, 1%, and 1%, respectively. Therefore, it is crucial to manage the smallholder pig farms in the Nay Pyi Taw area to lessen the impact of helminth infestations by practicing proper deworming strategies.

Strongyle was observed as the second most prevalent nematode, with 29.6% occurrence. It was lower than the

**Table 2** Identification of ITS1 sequences of Ascaris suum or ITS2 sequences of Trichuris suis isolates from pigs in Myanmar using the NCBI BLAST search

Study site	Sample ID	Length (bp)	Closest sequences in GenBank data bases				
			Identity (%) and remarks	Species (taxon name)	GenBank accession no	Host	Country
ITS1 sequence	es of A. suum						
Nay Pyi Taw	P-28	515	100% identity among isolated sequences	Ascaris suum	OQ825949	pig	This study
Nay Pyi Taw	P-187	515		A. suum	OQ825950	pig	This study
Nay Pyi Taw	P-402	515		A. suum	OQ825951	pig	This study
			485/485 (100%)	A. suum	AB576592	pig	Japan
			493/494 (99.8%)	A. suum	AB571302	pig	Japan
			502/504 (99.60%)	A. suum	MF358944	pig	Thailand
			505/507 (99.61%)	A. suum	MF358943	human	Lao
			486/487 (99.79%)	A. suum	AB110022	pig	Japan
			482/483 (99.79%)	A. suum	HQ721825	pig	China
			493/494 (99.8%)	A. lumbricoides	AB571297	human	Japan
			493/495 (99.6%)	A. ovis	KU522454	sheep	China
			489/494 (98.99%)	A. lumbricoides	LC422643	human	Japan
			507/514 (98.64%)	A. lumbricoides	MF358963	human	Thailand
			508/514 (98.83%)	A. suum	KY964447	pig	China
			391/393 (99.49%)	A. suum	ON493798	pig	Brazil
ITS2 sequence	es of T. suis						
Nay Pyi Taw	P-21	635	100% identity among isolated sequences	Trichuris suis	OQ825946	pig	This study
Nay Pyi Taw	P-180	635		T. suis	OQ825947	pig	This study
Nay Pyi Taw	P-399	635		T. suis	OQ825948	pig	This study
			408/408 (100%)	T. suis	MN967779	pig	Egypt
			632/635 (99.53%)	T. suis	MG656441	pig	China Hunam
			529/534 (99.06%)	T. suis	JN181800	pig	Uganda
			529/536 (98.69%)	T. suis	AJ249966	pig	Spain
			527/533 (98.87%)	T. suis	AM993007	pig	China
			630/634 (99.37%)	T. suis	AM993015	pig	China
			629/640 (98.28%)	T. suis	MG656442	pig	China
			529/537 (98.51%)	T. trichiura	JN181829	human	Uganda
			599/619 (96.77%)	T. suis	JF690951	pig	Slovakia

reports from Uganda, 89% [32], Kenya, 75% [33], Tanzania, 52% [34], Brazil, 46.6% [35] and Nepal, 32% [25], while lower than the findings from Ghana, 11% [36] and India, 11.10% [28]. *Strongyloides* spp. was observed in 21.4% of samples. Higher prevalence rates were reported from Bangladesh, 29.1% [20], Kenya, 26.6% [33], and Nepal, 23% [25], and lower prevalence rates were described from Indonesia, 19% [37], Tanzania, 15% [34] and India, 12.74% [38].

The 20.0% occurrence of *T. suis* noted in this study was in agreement with the report from Indonesia, 20.0% [37]. This occurrence was lower than the findings from Kenya, 78.0% [33], South Africa, 50.6% [26], the Netherlands, 37.5% [22], Nepal, 30% [25], India, 27.84% [28] and Japan,

24.8% [39] and higher than the findings from India, 17.3% [40] and Uganda, 17% [32]. The other observed helminths, *Metastrongylus* spp., *Hyostrongylus* spp., and *Paragonimus* spp. were found to have lower infection rates, with occurrence rates of 4.0%, 1.6%, and 1.0%, respectively.

According to the statistical analysis, the occurrence of GI helminth infestation was found to be associated with swine age in this study. Pigs < 3 months of age had an increased risk of infestation when compared to older pigs. The other hypothesized factors, breed, anthelmintic treatment, floor type, feed type and hygienic condition of pig farm, appeared to have no association with GI helminth infestation in this study. For the age factor in this study, a higher infestation rate was noted in younger pigs. Immunity to GI helminths might develop in older pigs and thus could be a reason for the higher occurrence in younger pigs. Foster and Elsheikha [41] also pointed out that the lower prevalence of GI parasites in adult pigs could be due to the enhanced resistance and susceptibility to reinfection governed by increased immunological memory.

In the studied townships, the GI helminths' occurrences were different with the minimum (52.0%) in Lewe Township and maximum (85.0%) in Pyinmana Township. However, farm management practices, such as deworming, hygienic conditions, feed type and floor type, were not much different among the townships. The significant association (P < 0.05) could be explained by the fact that sampling in Pyinmana Township was conducted at the end of May when the rainy season starts in Myanmar. In the remaining four townships, sampling was performed in the months of December and January. Parasitic worm eggs and larval development prefer a moist environment, and thus, the parasitic contamination rate increased in pigs and the environment. In accordance with this fact, it could be considered that the highest occurrence was noted in Pyinmana Township.

Overall, sampled pigs excreted mostly low levels (<100 EPG) and moderate levels (>100–500 EPG) of GI helminths. Thus, it is clear that the sampled pigs seemed to be normal in clinical appearance without showing any abnormalities due to harbouring moderate levels of GI helminth infestations. However, de Araújo et al. [42] suggested that subclinical infections are important and can be frequent, resulting in loss of appetite, low weight gain, and reduced feed conversion in affected animals.

The eggs of most common worms that infect pigs and humans (A. suum and T. suis) are morphologically similar to other species of the same genera and thus the ITS1 and ITS2 sequences have frequently been used for distinguishing closely related species [43]. In this investigation, we identified and examined the sequences of the ITS1 region of A. suum and the ITS2 region of T. suis and compared them to previously published sequences of those infecting humans and pigs from various regions. Sequence analysis of A. suum identified from humans and pigs revealed that the isolates belong to the same clade as the sequences documented in Asian countries and Brazil. Additionally, sequences of *T. suis* identified from pigs and *T. trichiura* from humans also belong to the same clade. These findings suggest that humans and pig-derived A. suum exhibit genetic similarities with sequences reported from Asian countries and that T. suis likewise shares genetic similarities between humans and pigs. Therefore, this finding supported the findings of Sadaow et al. [44], who assumed that zoonotic cross-transmission of Ascaris roundworm between pigs and humans might exist in Thailand, Lao PDR, and Myanmar. Although the utilization of ITS1 and ITS2 sequences is beneficial in distinguishing between parasite species [11], their resolution power is comparatively lower than that of other genomewide identification techniques.

# Conclusion

The overall GI parasite infestation rate in pigs was 69.2%, with 31.0% mixed infections, and five species of zoonotic helminths were found in the present study. Furthermore, age was a major factor related to GI helminth infestation in smallholder backyard pigs in the Nay Pyi Taw area. The two zoonotic helminths, *A. suum* and *T. suis*, were molecularly identified for the first time. It is important to extend the information among the farmers to be aware of the importance of prevention of zoonotic parasites for public health by practicing regular deworming, proper use of anthelmintics and keeping hygienic conditions in their pig farms.

# Methods

### Sample size and sample collection

The study area, sample size and sampling period were reported in our previous study [15]. In brief, a crosssectional study was conducted in five townships within Nay Pyi Taw, located between latitude 19° 45' N and longitude 96° 06' E, between December 2020 and May 2021. Samples were collected mostly in suburban and rural areas within townships, which were home to a large number of pig farms. A total of 500 fresh faecal samples were obtained, with 100 samples from each township. Upper parts of freshly dropped faeces on the ground of individual pigs were collected, placed into individual zip lock bags, labelled, put in an ice box, and brought to the Laboratory of Department of Pharmacology and Parasitology, University of Veterinary Science. During sampling, information regarding the age, sex, breed, type of feed, floor type and hygienic condition of the pig farm, as well as anthelmintic usage, were all recorded. The pig breeds included local and DYL (a cross breed of Duroc, Yorkshire, and Landrace). According to Esrony et al. [45], animals were classified as weaners (5–12 weeks), growers (>12 weeks to 24 weeks), and adults (>24 weeks).

# Laboratory analysis for parasite eggs

The samples were examined for helminth parasites in the laboratory using the faecal flotation and sedimentation methods as described by Zajac and Conboy [46]. On the basis of morphological characteristics described by Taylor et al. [47], parasite species were identified. The McMaster egg counting method was used to calculate the number of eggs per gram (EPG) of faeces [46].

#### **DNA extraction and PCR**

DNA extraction was performed on faecal samples that were positive for A. suum or T. suis eggs by fecal examination. According to the manufacturer's instructions, DNA was extracted using the Power Fecal DNA Isolation kit (MO BIO Laboratories, USA). The extracted DNA samples were eluted in 200  $\mu$ l elution buffer and kept at -80 °C. A NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) was used to determine the DNA concentration. To amplify the ITS1 region of A. suum, a primer set consisting of the forward primer F2662 (5'-GCAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGT-3') and the reverse primer R3214 (5'- CTGCAATTCGCACTATTT ATCG-3') was employed [11]. For the amplification of the ITS2 region of *T. suis*, a primer set of the forward primer ITS2\_tt\_F2 (5'-GCTCGTAGGTCGTTGAAG-3') and the reverse primer ITS2\_tt\_R2\_new1 (5'-GGGCAGCTT CCGTACT-3') was used [12]. Thermal cycling began with denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, then 40 cycles at 98 °C for 10 s, 52 °C (for A. suum) and 54 °C (for T. suis) for 15 s, 68 °C for 1 min, and a final extension at 68 °C for 5 min. DNA samples from previously collected A. suum and T. suis specimens were used as positive controls, with molecular grade deionised water serving as negative controls. PCR products were examined using 2% Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) agarose gel electrophoresis after staining with RedSafe Nucleic Acid Staining Solution (iNtRON Biotechnology Inc., Seongnam, Korea).

#### Sequencing and phylogenetic analysis

Following the manufacturer's instructions, DNA fragments obtained from the PCR were excised from the gel and purified using a NucleoSpin<sup>®</sup> Gel and PCR Clean-up Kit (MACHEREY-NAGEL, Düren, Germany) and submitted for direct sequencing on an Applied Biosystems 3130 Genetic Analyzer with a BigDye v3.1 Terminator cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Inc., Carlsbad, CA, USA). ATGC version 7 (GENETYX Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) was used for multiple sequence alignment. The phylogenetic analysis was conducted using the maximum likelihood (ML) method in MEGA X with Tamura-Nei model [48]. The bootstrap analysis was performed with 1000 replicates per tree. The obtained sequences (OQ825946-OQ825951) were compared to those in the NCBI nucleotide database (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ nuccore/).

## Statistical analysis

All data were entered into Microsoft Excel 2013 and analysed using the Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) version 20.0. The association between the occurrence of GI helminths and the hypothesized factors of age, sex, breed of pigs, anthelmintic treatment, floor type, feed type, and hygienic condition of the pig farm was analysed using a Pearson's Chi-square test at the P < 0.05 level of significance. The boxplots were explored by the ggplot package using the R language platform [49, 50].

#### **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12917-024-03998-w.

Additional file 1: Fig. S1. (A-I). Eggs of Ascaris suum (A), Oesophagostomum spp. (B), Strongyloides spp. (C), Trichuris suis (D), Metastrongylus spp. (E), Hyostrongylus spp. (F), Fasciolopsis spp. (G), Paragonimus spp. (H), and Schistosoma spp. (I) detected in this study.

Additional file 2: Fig. S2. (A), (B) and (C). Intensity of helminth infestation (EPG) was lower in pigs fed with commercial feed than local and mixed feed (A), higher in pigs reared on ground floor (B) and farms with no hygienic practices (C). The top and bottom horizontal lines of the boxplots represent the first and third quartiles of the data range, respectively, the medians are shown by middle horizontal lines, and the data range is shown by vertical lines, with outliers plotted as points. The notches of each boxplot are approximate 95% confidence intervals of medians.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to the Township Officers and Deputy Officers of LBVD, as well as farm owners, for their assistance in collecting samples. We also thank the final-year DVM students of the graduation thesis group (2020) from UVS, Myanmar, for helping with sample collection.

#### Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, SB, LLH, and HMC; methodology, SB, LLH, HMC, MMH, and RN; software, SB, RN and NH; validation, SB, LLH, HMC, MMH, RN, and KK; formal analysis, SB, LLH, HMC, MMH and NH; investigation SB, LLH, HMC, MMH, YK, SST, SYW, NCS, YNT; resources, SB and LLH; data curation, SB, LLH, HMC, and RN; writing-original draft preparation, SB, LLH, AMC; writing-review and editing, MMW, RN, NN, and KK; visualization, SB; supervision, LLH, RN and KK; funding acquisition, SB, and LLH. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

#### Funding

This study was partly supported by a research grant (2020–2021) from the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation, Myanmar.

#### Availability of data and materials

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### Declarations

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Review Committee, University of Veterinary Science, Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation, Myanmar (Approval number: ERC/Recom/2020(7) issued on 28 February 2020). The relevant pig farms were informed of the implementation of this study, and consent was obtained from the owner for the participation of the animal in the study. The pig's faecal samples were provided following owner consent. All methods were performed in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Parasitology, University of Veterinary Science, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw 15013, Myanmar. <sup>2</sup>Department of Livestock and Aquaculture Research, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw 15013, Myanmar. <sup>3</sup>Department of International Relations and Information Technology, University of Veterinary Science, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw 15013, Myanmar. <sup>4</sup>Laboratory of Parasitology, Graduate School of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0818, Japan. <sup>5</sup>Rector Office, University of Veterinary Science, Yezin, Nay Pyi Taw 15013, Myanmar.

#### Received: 12 December 2023 Accepted: 28 March 2024 Published online: 06 April 2024

#### References

- 1. Alarcon P, Rushton J, Nathues H, Wieland B. Economic efficiency analysis of different strategies to control post-weaning multi-systemic wasting syndrome and porcine circovirus type 2 subclinical infection in 3-weekly batch system farms. Prev Vet Med. 2013;110:103–18.
- Sowemimo O, Asaolu S, Adegoke F, Ayanniyi O. Epidemiological survey of gastrointestinal parasites of pigs in Ibadan. Southwest Nigeria J Public Health Epidemiol. 2012;4:294–8.
- Ismail HA, Jeon HK, Yu YM, Do C, Lee YH. Intestinal parasite infections in pigs and beef cattle in rural areas of Chungcheongnam-do. Korea Korean J Parasitol. 2010;48:347–9.
- Holland C, Boes J. Distribution and predisposition: people and pigs. In: Holland CV, Kennedy MW, editors. The geohelminths: Ascaris, Trichuris and hookworm. World class parasite. Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers; 2002. p. 1–24 vol. 2.
- Nejsum P, Betson M, Bendall RP, Thamsborg SM, Stothard JR. Assessing the zoonotic potential of Ascaris suum and Trichuris suis: looking to the future from an analysis of the past. J Helminthol. 2012;86:148–55.
- Thamsborg SM, Nejsum P, Mejer H. Impact of Ascaris suum in livestock. In: Holland C, editor. Ascaris the neglected parasite. London: Academic Press; 2013.
- Betson M, Nejsum P, Stothard JR. From the twig tips to the deeper branches: new insights into evolutionary history and phylogeography of Ascaris. In: Holland C, editor. Ascaris the neglected parasite. London: Academic Press; 2013.
- Miller LA, Colby K, Manning SE, Hoenig D, McEvoy E, Montgomery S, Mathison B, de Almeida M, Bishop H, Dasilva A, Sears S. Ascariasis in humans and pigs on small-scale farms, Maine, USA, 2010–2013. Emerg Infect Dis. 2015;21:332–4.
- 9. Li YZ, Hernandez AD, Major S, Carr R. Occurrence of intestinal parasites and its impact on growth performance and carcass traits of pigs raised under near-organic conditions. Front Vet Sci. 2022;9:911561.
- Dolezalova J, Obornik M, Hajduskova E, Jirku M, Petrzelkova KJ, Bolechova P, Cutillas C, Callejon R, Jozef J, Berankova Z, Modry D. How many species of whipworms do we share? Whipworms from man and other primates form two phylogenetic lineages. Folia Parasitol (Praha). 2015;2015(62):063.
- Ishiwata K, Shinohara A, Yagi K, Horii Y, Tsuchiya K, Nawa Y. Identification of tissue-embedded ascarid larvae by ribosomal DNA sequencing. Parasitol Res. 2004;92:50–2.
- Phosuk I, Sanpool O, Thanchomnang T, Sadaow L, Rodpai R, Anamnart W, Janwan P, Wijit A, Laymanivong S, Pa Aung WP, Intapan PM, Maleewong W. Molecular identification of Trichuris suis and Trichuris trichiura eggs in human populations from Thailand, Lao PDR, and Myanmar. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2018;98:39–44.
- 13. FAOSTAT: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data. Accessed 20 Apr 2023.
- Thaw YN, Khaing TA, Linn KS, Wai SS, Htun LL, Bawm S. The first seroepidemiological study on Toxoplasma gondii in backyard pigs in Myanmar. Parasite Epidemiol Control. 2021;14:e00216.
- 15. Bawm S, Chel HM, Khaing Y, Hmoon MM, Thein SS, Win SY, Soe NC, Thaw YN, Hayashi N, Win MM, Htun LL, Nonaka N, Katakura K, Nakao R. The strong influence of management factors on coccidian infections in smallholder pig farms and the first molecular identification of Cystoisospora suis in Myanmar. Parasite. 2022;29:1.

- Page 9 of 10
- Yadav S, Gupta A, Choudhary P, Pilania PK, Joshi SP. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths and assessment of associated risk factors in pigs from Rajasthan districts. India J Entomol Zool Stud. 2021;9:1418–23.
- 17. Borthakur SK, Rahmani S, Sarma K. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in pigs in Aizawl. J Vet Parasitol. 2007;21:173–4.
- Tamboura HH, Banga-Mboko H, Maes D, Youssao I, Traore A, Bayala B, Dembele MA. Prevalence of common gastro-intestinal nematode parasites in scavenging pigs of different ages and sexes in Eastern Centre Province. Burkina Faso Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 2006;73:53–60.
- Nganga CJ, Karanja DN, Mutune MN. The prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth infections in pigs in Kenya. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2008;40:331–4.
- Dey TR, Dey AR, Begum N, Akther S, Barmon BC. Prevalence of endo parasites of pig at Mymensingh. Bangladesh J Agric Vet Sci. 2014;7:31–8.
- 21. Roepstorff A, Jorsal SE. Prevalence of helminth infections in swine in Denmark. Vet Parasitol. 1989;33:231–9.
- 22. Eijck IA, Borgsteede FH. A survey of gastrointestinal pig parasites on freerange, organic and conventional pig farms in the Netherlands. Vet Res Commun. 2005;29:407–14.
- Laha R, Das M, Goswami A, Sailo B, Sharma BK, Gangmei D, Puii LH, Patra MK, Das RK, Sharma A, Ngullie E. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infections in pigs of north eastern region of India. Indian J Hill Farming. 2014;27:64–7.
- Yoshihara S, Hung NP, Hung NH, Loc CB. Helminths and helminthiosis of pigs in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam with special reference to Ascariosis and Fasciolopsis buski infection. JARQ. 1999;33:193–9.
- Adhikari RB, Dhakal MA, Thapa S, Ghimire TR. Gastrointestinal parasites of indigenous pigs (Sus domesticus) in south-central Nepal. Vet Med Sci. 2021;7:1820–30.
- Nwafor IC, Roberts H, Fourie P. Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths and parasites in smallholder pigs reared in the central Free State Province. Onderstepoort J Vet Res. 2019;86:e1–8.
- Boes J, Willingham AL 3rd, Fuhui S, Xuguang H, Eriksen L, Nansen P, Stewart TB. Prevalence and distribution of pig helminths in the Dongting Lake Region (Hunan Province) of the People's Republic of China. J Helminthol. 2000;74:45–52.
- Patra G, Al-Abodi HR, Sahara A, Ghosh S, Borthakur SK, Polley S, Behera P, Deka A. Prevalence of parasitic fauna of pigs in North-Eastern region of India. Biol Rhythm Res. 2019;51:1298–315.
- Sharma D, Singh NK, Singh H, Rath SS. Copro-prevalence and risk factor assessment of gastrointestinal parasitism in Indian domestic pigs. Helminthologia. 2020;57:28–36.
- 30 Tumusiime M, Ntampaka P, Niragire F, Sindikubwabo T, Habineza F. Prevalence of swine gastrointestinal parasites in Nyagatare District Rwanda. J Parasitol Res. 2020;2020:8814136.
- Băieş MH, Boros Z, Gherman CM, Spînu M, Mathe A, Pataky S, Lefkaditis M, Cozma V. Prevalence of swine gastrointestinal parasites in two free-range farms from Nord-west region of Romania. Pathogens. 2022;11(9):954.
- Nissen S, Poulsen IH, Nejsum P, Olsen A, Roepstorff A, Rubaire-Akiiki C, Thamsborg SM. Prevalence of gastrointestinal nematodes in growing pigs in Kabale District in Uganda. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2011;43:567–72.
- Obonyo FO, Maingi N, Githigia SM, Nganga CJ. Farming practices and risk factors for transmission of helminths of free range pigs in Homabay District, Kenya. Livest Res Rural Dev. 2013;25:36.
- 34. Nonga HE, Paulo N. Prevalence and intensity of gastrointestinal parasites in slaughter pigs at Sanawari slaughter slab in Arusha, Tanzania. Livest Res Rural Dev. 2015;27:10.
- Barbosa AS, Bastos OM, Dib LV, Siqueira MPD, Cardozo ML, Ferreira LC, Chaves WT, Fonseca ABM, Uchôa C, Amendoeira MRR. Gastrointestinal parasites of swine raised in different management systems in the State of Rio de Janeiro. Brazil Pesqui Vet Bras. 2015;35:941–6.
- Atawalna J, Attoh-Kotoku V, Folitse R, Amenakpor C. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites among pigs in the Ejisu Municipality of Ghana. Sch J Agric Vet Sci. 2016;3:33–6.
- Widisuputri NKA, Suwanti LT, Plumeriastuti H. A Survey for zoonotic and other gastrointestinal parasites in pig in Bali Province. Indonesia Indonesian J Trop Infect Dis. 2020;8:54–65.
- Petersen HH, Jianmin W, Katakam KK, Mejer H, Thamsborg SM, Dalsgaard A, Olsen A, Enemark HL. Cryptosporidium and Giardia in Danish organic pig farms: Seasonal and age- related variation in prevalence, infection intensity and species/genotypes. Vet Parasitol. 2015;214:29–39.

- Matsubayashi M, Kita T, Narushima T, Kimata I, Tani H, Sasai K, Baba E. Coprological survey of parasitic infections in pigs and cattle in slaughterhouse in Osaka. Japan J Vet Med Sci. 2009;71:1079–83.
- Krishna Murthy CM, Ananda KJ, Adeppa J, Satheesha MG. Studies on gastrointestinal parasites of pigs in Shimoga region of Karnataka. J Parasit Dis. 2016;40:885–9.
- Foster N, Elsheikha HM. The immune response to parasitic helminths of veterinary importance and its potential manipulation for future vaccine control strategies. Parasitol Res. 2012;110:1587–99.
- de Araújo HG, da Silva JT, Álvares FBV, Ferreira LC, Azevedo SS, Vilela VLR. Prevalence and risk factors associated with swine gastrointestinal nematodes and coccidia in the semi-arid region of northeastern Brazil. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2020;52:379–85.
- Callejón R, Nadler S, De Rojas M, Zurita A, Petrášová J, Cutillas C. Molecular characterization and phylogeny of whipworm nematodes inferred from DNA sequences of cox1 mtDNA and 18S rDNA. Parasitol Res. 2013;112:3933–49.
- 44. Sadaow L, Sanpool O, Phosuk I, Rodpai R, Thanchomnang T, Wijit A, Anamnart W, Laymanivong S, Aung WPP, Janwan P, Maleewong W, Intapan PM. Molecular identification of Ascaris lumbricoides and Ascaris suum recovered from humans and pigs in Thailand, Lao PDR, and Myanmar. Parasitol Res. 2018;117:2427–36.
- Esrony K, Kambarage DM, Mtambo MMA, Muhairwa AP, Kusiluka LJM. Intestinal protozoan parasites of pigs reared under different management systems in Morogoro. Tanzania J Appl Anim Res. 1996;10:25–31.
- Zajac AM, Comboy GA. Fecal examination for the diagnosis of parasitism. In: Veterinary Clinical Parasitology. 8th ed. Oxford: Willey Blackwell Science Ltd; 2012. p. 22–9.
- Taylor MA, Coop RL, Wall RL. Parasites of dogs. In: Veterinary Parasitology. 4th ed. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing; 2016. p. 599–659.
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K. MEGA X: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol. 2018;35:1547–9.
- 49. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2016.
- R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. 2020. https:// www.R-project.org/.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.