# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

**Open Access** 



# Quadruplex PCR assay for identification of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* differentiating biovar Ovis and Equi

Sintia Almeida<sup>1\*</sup>, Elaine M. S. Dorneles<sup>2</sup>, Carlos Diniz<sup>1,3</sup>, Vinícius Abreu<sup>4</sup>, Cassiana Sousa<sup>1</sup>, Jorianne Alves<sup>5</sup>, Adriana Carneiro<sup>5</sup>, Priscilla Bagano<sup>1</sup>, Sharon Spier<sup>6</sup>, Debmalya Barh<sup>1,7</sup>, Andrey P. Lage<sup>2</sup>, Henrique Figueiredo<sup>8</sup> and Vasco Azevedo<sup>1\*</sup>

# Abstract

**Background:** Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis is classified into two biovars, nitrate-negative biovar Ovis which is the etiologic agent of caseous lymphadenitis in small ruminants and nitrate-positive biovar Equi, which causes abscesses and ulcerative lymphangitis in equines. The aim of this study was to develop a quadruplex PCR assay that would allow simultaneous detection and biovar-typing of *C. pseudotuberculosis*.

**Methods:** In the present study, genomes of *C. pseudotuberculosis* strains were used to identify the genes involved in the nitrate reduction pathway to improve a species identification three-primer multiplex PCR assay. The nitrate reductase gene (*narG*) was included in the PCR assay along with the *16S, rpoB* and *pld* genes to enhance the diagnosis of the multiplex PCR at biovar level.

**Results:** A novel quadruplex PCR assay for *C. pseudotuberculosis* species and biovar identification was developed. The results of the quadruplex PCR of 348 strains, 346 previously well-characterized clinical isolates of *C. pseudotuberculosis* from different hosts (goats, sheep, horse, cattle, buffalo, llamas and humans), the vaccine strain 1002 and the type strain ATCC 19410<sup>T</sup>, were compared to the results of nitrate reductase identification by biochemical test. The McNemar's Chi-squared test used to compare the two methods used for *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar identification showed no significant difference (P = 0.75) [95% CI for odds ratio (0.16–6.14)] between the quadruplex PCR and the nitrate biochemical test. Concordant results were observed for 97.13% (338 / 348) of the tested strains and the kappa value was 0.94 [95% CI (0.90–0.98)].

**Conclusions:** The ability of the quadruplex assay to discriminate between *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Ovis and Equi strains enhances its usefulness in the clinical microbiology laboratory.

Keywords: Caseous lymphadenitis, Diagnosis, Nitrate reductase, Horse, Sheep, Goats

# Background

*Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* is a facultative intracellular bacterium that is the causative agent of caseous lymphadenitis (CLA) in goats and sheep, abscesses and ulcerative lymphangitis in horses and oedematous skin disease (OSD) in Buffalo. It also causes sporadic infections in other species including cattle, camels, llamas, and humans [1–4]. *C. pseudotuberculosis* can be classified in

\* Correspondence: sintiaalmeida@gmail.com; vasco@icb.ufmg.br <sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil two biovars, based on their ability to convert nitrate to nitrite, nitrate-positive strains are classified as biovar Equi and the nitrate negative ones as biovar Ovis [5]. In sheep and goats, CLA is predominantly caused by biovar Ovis strains, whereas horses and buffalos are mostly infected by biovar Equi strains [6–8]. Infection by *C. pseudotuberculosis* is distributed worldwide, causing significant disease in horse, sheep and goat herds [8, 9]. The main economic losses attributed to *C. pseudotuberculosis* infection include decreased milk production, decreased weight gain, reduced value of hides due to scarring, and the cost of the drugs and labor needed to treat disease [9].



© The Author(s). 2017 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Direct and indirect tests to detect *C. pseudotuberculosis* have already been proposed, such as complement fixation test [10], synergistic hemolysis inhibition test [11], microagglutination assay [12], phospholipase D (PLD) antigen-based ELISA [13] and a multiplex PCR developed by our research group [14]. While these tests are useful for clinical diagnosis in diseased animals, none can differentiate the two biovars of *C. pseudotuberculosis*, which is currently only accomplished by biochemical tests. Differences between biovars are relevant for host and tissue specificity and appear to be associated with virulence [15, 16].

Disease caused by *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovars has different clinical manifestations in the susceptible hosts [6, 8, 17, 18], and biovar identification is important for understanding the epidemiology of infection, and consequently for disease control. Moreover, biovar identification can also have clinical implications. Since cattle can be infected by strains of both biovars, which may have different tissue preferences: biovar Ovis infects chiefly the mammary gland [17] and skin [18] and biovar Equi causes ulcerative lymphangitis and coronet lesions [9, 19, 20].

A dominant genetic characteristic that differentiates the biovars of *C. pseudotuberculosis* is the presence of the nitrate reduction operon in the biovar Equi strains [21]. Additionally, biovar Equi strains have 15 genes that are absent in biovar Ovis strains, including the *narKGHJI* operon, and a gene cluster encoding the molybdopterins *moeB*, *moaE*, *molB*, *molA*, *moeY*, *moaC*, *moeA*, and *moaA* and two hypothetical proteins [21].

Currently, only nitrate reduction test distinguishes *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovars Ovis and Equi [5, 9]. The available phenotypic tests, performed in a laboratory or commercially available, for *C. pseudotuberculosis* identification are usually effective. However, the phenotypic tests can be expensive and unavailable for some laboratories that prefer to use molecular techniques. Currently, clinical microbiology laboratories are experiencing a change from classical to new diagnostic tools as PCR, real-time PCR, sequencing and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry [22, 23], which, due to the decrease in costs, may prefer to use molecular tests are usually faster, easier and have less subjectivity in interpretation [24].

Our collaborative group has sequenced more than 60 *C. pseudotuberculosis* strains isolated from different hosts around the world, performing genomic, proteomic and clinical studies to not only to understand the pathogen but also try to find a way to control the spread of bacteria. Thus, the aim of this study was to develop a quadruplex PCR assay that would allow

simultaneous detection and biovar-typing of *C. pseudo-tuberculosis* strains.

# Methods

# Nitrate reductase genes

Presence or absence of nitrate reductase genes were analyzed in nineteen *C. pseudotuberculosis* genomes (Table 1) in our previous work [21].

# Bacterial strains and culture conditions

A total of 348 C. pseudotuberculosis strains, 346 field isolates [25–27], C. pseudotuberculosis ATCC 19410<sup>T</sup> type strain, and C. pseudotuberculosis 1002 vaccine strain, were used in this study. These strains were obtained from the repository of the Laboratório de Genética Celular e Molecular, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas and of the Laboratório de Bacteriologia Aplicada, Escola de Veterinária of the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais. C. pseudotuberculosis biovars Ovis and Equi were aerobically grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) (Acumedia Manufacturers, Baltimore, USA) agar plates at 37 °C for 48 h. The C. pseudotuberculosis isolates were identified by standard biochemical tests [9, 28, 29]. Nitrate reduction was assessed using nitrate broth (Merck, Billerica, USA) and further reduction beyond nitrite was tested by addition of zinc dust (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, USA) [28].

## Genomic DNA extraction, primers, and quadruplex PCR

Genomic DNA extraction were performed according to the previously described protocol [30]. The oligonucleotide primers used in this study are listed in Table 2. Primers used to target 16S rRNA, rpoB, and pld genes of C. pseudotuberculosis were previously described [14, 31, 32]. Primers targeting the *narG* gene were designed by aligning the narG nucleotide sequences of C. pseudotuberculosis biovar Equi strains available from the whole genome sequenced strains (Table 1). Quadruplex PCR were carried out in a final volume of 50 µL, containing 20 ng of genomic DNA, 1 µM of each primer, 0.25 mM dNTPs, 1 units of Taq DNA polymerase (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 1X buffer (200 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4, 500 mM KCl) (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA). Amplification was performed using the thermal cycler (PTC-100, MJ Research, Hercules, USA) as follows: the first denaturation at 95 °C for 4 min; followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 58 °C for the 30s, and extension at 72 °C for 1.5 min. The amplified products were submitted to electrophoresis in 1.0% agarose gel (w/v) in Tris-borate-EDTA (TBE) buffer (89 mM Tris Base, 89 mM Boric Acid and 2 mM EDTA pH 8.0), stained with 0.5 mg / mL ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

Strain	Biovar	Host	Country	Genome size (MB)	Sequencing status	NCBI access	Reference
1002	Ovis	Goat	Brazil,	2.33511	Complete	NC_017300.1	(37)
C231	Ovis	Sheep	Australia	2.32821	Complete	NC_017301.1	(37)
FRC41	Ovis	Human	France	2.33791	Complete	NC_014329.1	(38)
119	Ovis	Cow	Israel	2.33773	Complete	NC_017303.1	(39)
PAT10	Ovis	Sheep	Argentine	2.33532	Complete	NC_017305.1	(40)
42/02-A	Ovis	Sheep	Australia	2.33761	Complete	NC_017306.1	(41)
3/99–5	Ovis	Sheep	Scotland	2.33794	Complete	NC_016781.1	(41)
267	Ovis	Llama	USA	2.33763	Complete	NC_017462.1	(6)
P54B96	Ovis	Antelope	South Africa	2.33794	Complete	NC_017031.1	(42)
CIP5297	Equi	Horse	Kenya	2.32059	Complete	NC_017307.1	(43)
1/06-A	Equi	Horse	USA	2.27912	Complete	NC_017308.1	(44)
316	Equi	Horse	USA	2.31041	Complete	NC_016932.1	(45)
258	Equi	Horse	Belgium	2.36982	Complete	NC_017945.1	(46)
162	Equi	Camel	UK	2.29346	Complete	NC_018019.1	(42)
31	Equi	Buffalo	Egypt	2.38969	Complete	NC_017730.1	(47)
262	Equi	Cattle	Belgium	2.32575	Complete	NZ_CP012022.1	-
MB20	Equi	Horse	USA	2.36309	Draft	JPUV01	(48)
E19	Equi	Horse	Unknow	2.36796	Complete	NZ_CP012136.1	-
CCUG27541	Equi	Horse	Unknow	2.37942	Draft	JPJB01	(49)

 Table 1 Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis strains with the whole genome sequenced available in the NCBI GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank) in 2015

Table 2 List of oligonucleotide primers used in this study

Target gene	Primers	Sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Amplicom size (bp)	Multiplex PCR assay	Reference
16S rRNA <sup>a</sup>	Forward	ACCGCACTTTAGTGTGTGTG	816	Yes	(25)
	Reverse	TCTCTACGCCGATCTTGTAT			
rроВ <sup>а</sup>	Forward	CGTATGAACATCGGCCAGGT	446	Yes	(26)
	Reverse	TCCATTTCGCCGAAGCGCTG			
pld <sup>a</sup>	Forward	ATAAGCGTAAGCAGGGAGCA	203	Yes	(14)
	Reverse	ATCAGCGGTGATTGTCTTCCAGG			
narG <sup>a</sup>	Forward	ACCCGTACTTGCACTCTTTC	612	Yes	Present Study
	Reverse	AGTCAGTACTTCCGCAGGTC			
narT	Forward	GCTGAAGCAAGTTCGTGTCT	202	No	Present Study
	Reverse	GTAACGGTCAGAGAACCATCC			
narK	Forward	GCTGAAGCAAGTTCGTGTCT	202	No	Present Study
	Reverse	GTAACGGTCAGAGAACCATCC			
narG2	Forward	CAACGTGGTACCTGGTATCTG	200	No	Present Study
	Reverse	CATAGGGAGAGCGAGAACAA			
narH	Forward	GATTCTACTGACCGCCATCTC	196	No	Present Study
	Reverse	ATCAGTACCTGTCATGCCTACC			
narJ	Forward	CGTGATGGTATAGAGGTGCTG	198	No	Present Study
	Reverse	GTTGGAAGCAGTAGGGAAGGGAG			
narl	Forward	CTGTATCCACAGGTGTTCG	215	No	Present Study
	Reverse	GTATCCTACAGGCGCTGAGA			

<sup>a</sup>Primers used to quadriplex PCR assay

# Sequencing of singleplex PCR products

In order to confirm the quadruplex PCR results, ten randomly chosen isolates were tested further in singleplex PCR assays with the four C. pseudotuberculosis-specific primer pairs. PCR products were purified using Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter Company, Beverly, Massachusetts, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and each product was sequenced in both directions using primers targeting the 16S rRNA, rpoB, pld and narG gene and the Big Dye V3.1 Terminator Kit (Applied Biosystems, USA) using an ABI 3500 DNA analyzer (Applied Biosystems, California, USA). Sequences were analyzed on the Geneious suite of molecular biology (http://www.geneious.com) with 16S rRNA (GenBank accession nos X81916, X81907, and X84255), rpoB (Gen-Bank accession no. AY492239), pld (GenBank accession nos L16586 and L16587) and narG (GenBank accession no AJF93840.1) as the reference genes.

# Statistical analysis

Comparison between nitrate reduction test and quadruplex PCR was performed by McNemar's Chi-squared test, and the agreement was calculated using the kappa statistic. Statistical analysis were performed using the packages psych [33] and epibasix [34] on R software version 3.2.3 [35].

## Results

Comparative genome analysis showed that *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Equi strains (258, 31, 262, MB20, E19 and CCUG27541) had *narKGHJI* gene clusters, however strains 1/06-A, 316, 162, and CIP52.97, although showing positive results in the nitrate reduction test, did not exhibit *narKGHJI* operon in their genome. On the other hand, genomic sequence analysis identified partial genes *molB, narJ, moeA,* and *moeB* in the strains 1/06-A, 162 and CIP52.97.

Since the strains 1/06-A, 316, 162, and CIP52.97 were nitrate reductase positive in biochemical test and the genes were not identified in their genomes, primers to target *narKGHJI* cluster and *narT* gene were designed (Table 2). The PCR tests (Additional file 1: Figure S1), sequencing and the optical map showed that the genes for *narKGHJI* and *narT* are present in the genomes of those strains (data not shown).

The multiplex PCR assay that targets *16S rRNA*, *rpoB* and *pld* genes [14] was improved by the inclusion of *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar-specific primers for the *narG* gene (*narG* – Table 2), in a novel quadruplex PCR assay (Fig. 1). The assessment of our quadruplex PCR assay was performed in a double-blind fashion. The results of the quadruplex PCR of the 348 previously well-characterized strains of *C. pseudotuberculosis* from different hosts (goats, sheep, horse, cattle, buffalo, llamas



and humans) [21, 25-27] were compared to the results of nitrate reductase identification by biochemical test, and are shown in Table 3. The McNemar's Chi-squared test used to compare the two methods employed for C. pseudotuberculosis biovar identification showed no significant difference (P = 0.75), with an odds ratio of 1 (95% CI for the odds ratio: 0.16-6.14) between the quadruplex PCR and the nitrate biochemical test. Concordant results were observed for 97.13% (338/348) of the strains (Table 3), and the kappa statistic value was 0.94 [95% CI (0.90–0.98)], denoting excellent concordance between biochemical and molecular tests for nitrate reductase identification. The limit of detection of the new quadruplex PCR was 200 ng of DNA from C. pseudotuberculosis biovar Equi, which corresponds to approximately 100 bacteria.

# Discussion

Previously, identification of *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovars was only possible only through the established procedures that included isolation and identification of the agent using biochemical tests such as the nitrate reduction test, which separates the nitrate-positive biovar Equi from nitrate negative biovar Ovis strains [5]. Herein, we developed, by the addition of a new oligonucleotide primer pair targeting the *narG* gene to the former multiplex PCR assay [14], a robust new assay for identification of *C. pseudotuberculosis* at species and biovar levels.

The comparative genome analysis showed in *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Equi strains (258, 31, 262, MB20, E19 and CCUG27541) *narKGHJI* gene clusters that participate via the respiratory anaerobic process of the

 Table 3 Comparison of biochemical test and a multiplex PCR assay employed for Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis biovar identification

Multiplex	Biochemical test	TOTA	
PCR assay	Nitrate positive	Nitrate negative	
Nitrate positive	133	5	138
Nitrate negative	5	205	210
Total	138	210	348

McNemar's Chi-squared test = P = 0.75, Odds Ratio: 1 (95% Cl for the odds ratio: 0.16-6.14)

Kappa coefficient = 0.94 (95% Cl: 0.91-0.98)

nitrate reduction similar to *Escherichia coli* [21, 36]. The *C. pseudotuberculosis narKGHJI* gene cluster showed significant similarity with the protein sequences found in other Actinomycetes, such as *C. diphtheriae*, *C. glutamicum*, and *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. All *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Ovis strains do not present any gene of the *narKGHJI* operon in their genomes [21].

The nitrate *locus* in *C. pseudotuberculosis* is composed of the *narKGHJI* operon and by a cluster of genes encoding the molybdopterin *moeB, moaE, molB, molA, moeY, moaC, moeA,* and *moaA* (Fig. 2) [21]. Molybdopterin is a cofactor that is indispensable for the activity of nitrate reductase. In the *narGHI* complex, the *narG* gene is a member of a superfamily of enzymes that use a Molybdopterin-guanine-dinucleotide (Mo-bisMGD) cofactor (bisMGD) for their catalytic activity.

Our results showed that among the 348 *C. pseudotuberculosis* tested, only 10 strains (2.87%) showed differences between the biovar classification provided by molecular and biochemical tests (Table 3), which was observed even after repeating the assays. Furthermore, the kappa coefficient, which is a robust statistic that measures interrater agreement for qualitative items, confirms that regardless of the technique used for biovar classification the results obtained were very similar. It is also important to consider that kappa values range from -1 to +1, where 1 represents a perfect agreement between the raters, and 0.81 to 1.00 represents almost perfect agreement, such as the observed in our data (0.94) [28, 37]. Discordance between both phenotypic and genotypic methods can be explained by the effect of environmental factors on gene expression [29, 38] amino-acid substitution, the genetic background of the strain (that can vary according to geographical locations) and mutations. Considering discordances due to mutations genotypic tests have proven to be more reliable and sensitive as diagnostic tool than phenotypic tests [30-32, 39-42]. Others studies also showed discordant results between genotypic versus phenotypic methods [30-32, 39-41, 43, 44].

To our acknowledgement, this is the first molecular approach able to clearly differentiate between *C. pseudo-tuberculosis* biovar Ovis and Equi, although different restriction patterns, ribotypes and ERIC-PCR clustering pattern have been associated to biovars [26, 36, 45–47]. The advantages of multiplex PCR assay over biochemical tests are the speed, performance and reproducibility, and the ability to test large numbers of isolates simultaneously [26, 45, 46]. Identification is based upon the number and sizes of four products amplified by PCR. Moreover, the use of molecular techniques reduces the manipulation of viable bacteria in the laboratory and consequently the risk of accidental infection, as *C. pseudotuberculosis* can eventually be a zoonotic agent [2]. Moreover, this new diagnostic tool, the quadruplex PCR assay for identification and



Fig. 2 Nitrate locus from *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Equi.This locus contains: the genes encoding the molybdopterin moeB, moaE, molB, molA, moeY, moaC, moeA and moaA and the genes encoding the nitrate reductase narK, narG, narH, narJ, narJ. Insertion show between ansA and rpsH genes is lacking in nitrate negative *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Ovis strains. Arrows represent open reading frames and their orientations. Blue and pink: common genes shared between *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Ovis and biovar Equi strains. Pink: ribosomal proteins. Hatched: additional or different genes. Red: narKGHJI operon and grey: genes encoding the molybdopterin moeB, moaE, molB, molA, moeY, moaC, moeA and moaA

biotyping of *C. pseudotuberculosis* follows the new trends on clinical microbiology laboratory that is currently incorporating more molecular biology tools in its routine [23, 48]. In addition, despite not having been tested in the present study, due to its analytical sensitivity of 100 bacteria. |It is likely that this quadruplex PCR can also be applied to direct testing from clinical samples, as it has been done successfully for the three-primer (*16S* rRNA, *rpoB*, and *pld*) multiplex PCR [14].

The quadruplex PCR proposed in this study facilitates and deepens the level of identification of C. pseudotuberculosis strains at clinical microbiology laboratory, and thereby improves the diagnosis of infection by providing more information for decision making. These results are especially significant considering that C. pseudotuberculosis infects a wide range of hosts and produce different clinical manifestations. Furthermore, it was recently suggested that C. pseudotuberculosis biovars have differences at the molecular phylogenetic level, indicating an anagenesis process within the species [37, 49]. The evolutionary analysis of conserved genes (rpoB, gapA, fusA, and rsmE) suggesting a gradual anagenesis of C. pseudotuberculosis in that study [49] substantially increases the importance of a molecular technique capable of efficiently separating the biovars Ovis and Equi. In fact, a pan-genome analysis of fifteen C. pseudotuberculosis strains showed a significant number of genes not shared by both biovars, including remarkable differences in the 16 detected pathogenicity islands [50].

In this study, it was also observed that some C. pseudotuberculosis strains (1/06-A, 316, 162 and CIP52.97) were able to reduce nitrate when tested by the biochemical approach and were also positive in the quadruplex PCR assay, albeit did not show in their genomes genes associated with nitrate reduction. The genomic analysis of nitrate locus identified that partial genes encoding the molybdopterin and narKGHJI operon of these strains was absent [21]. These results may have been due to low overall coverage, poor capture efficiency of certain regions, genomic regions that were previously not assembled or poorly assembled, including unambiguously aligning repetitive regions, such as transposons, and difficulty in unambiguously aligning repetitive regions [41, 51]. Then, after resequencing of the *narKGHJI* operon region and optical mapping of these strains, it was observed that these strains have the nitrate locus in their genome and corrections on their information on GenBank are under way.

# Conclusions

A novel quadruplex PCR assay for *C. pseudotuberculosis* species and biovar identification was developed. The nitrate reductase gene *narG* was included in the assay along with the *16S*, *rpoB* and *pld* genes to improve the

diagnosis of the multiplex PCR at biovar level. There was a significant concordance between the biovar classification provided by the molecular and biochemical test. The ability of the expanded quadruplex PCR assay to discriminate between *C. pseudotuberculosis* biovar Ovis and Equi strains enhances its value.

# **Additional file**

Additional file 1: Figure S1. A and B) 1% agarose gel containing the result of PCR performed for molecular confirmation of the amplicom *narKGHJI* operon and *narT* gene of C31, 258, 162, 5297 and 106/A strains. (DOCX 116 kb)

#### Abbreviations

CLA: Caseous Lymphadenitis; Mo-bisMGD: Molybdopterin-guanine-dinucleotide; NAR: Nitrate Reductase; OSD: Oedematous Skin Disease

#### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de Minas Gerais (Fapemig). APL and VA thanks CNPq for their fellowships. APL is also indebted to Programa Pesquisador Mineiro (PPM 00923-15) - Fapemig.

#### Funding

APL was supported by the Programa Pesquisador Mineiro – PPM (00923–15) from Fapemig and SA was supported by the Universal (481,792/2013–9) from Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

#### Availability of data and materials

The information supporting the conclusions of this article is included in the article.

#### Authors' contributions

SA: drafted and wrote the manuscript, and analyzed the data. ED: drafted the manuscript and performed biochemistry tests. CD: performed biochemistry tests. VACA: development of scripts and computational analysis. CS, JA and PB performed laboratory experiments. VA, DB and AL: wrote the manuscript. VA, AC, SS, AL and HF: contributed to conception and design. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. <sup>2</sup>Escola de Veterinária, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil. <sup>3</sup>Departamento de Medicina Veterinária, Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, MG, Brazil. <sup>4</sup>Centro de Energia Nuclear na Agricultura, University of Sao Paulo, Piracicaba, SP, Brazil. <sup>5</sup>Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Federal University of Para, Belém, PA, Brazil. <sup>6</sup>Department of Medicine and Epidemiology, UC Davis School of Veterinary Medicine, Davis, CA, USA. <sup>7</sup>Centre for Genomics and Applied Gene Technology, Institute of Integrative Omics and Applied Biotechnology (IIOAB), Nonakuri, Purba Medinipur, WB, India. <sup>8</sup>Aquacen - National Reference Laboratory for Aquatic Animal Diseases, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

# Received: 5 July 2016 Accepted: 14 September 2017 Published online: 25 September 2017

#### References

- Shpigel NY, Elad D, Yeruham I, Winkler M, Saran A. An outbreak of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis infection in an Israeli dairy herd. Vet. Rec. 1993;133:89–94.
- Peel MM, Palmer GG, Stacpoole AM, Kerr TG. Human lymphadenitis due to Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis: report of ten cases from Australia and review. Clin. Infect. Dis. Off. Publ. Infect. Dis. Soc. Am. 1997;24:185–91.
- Lopes T, Silva A, Thiago R, Carneiro A, Dorella FA, Rocha FS, et al. Complete genome sequence of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis strain Cp267, isolated from a llama. J Bacteriol. 2012;194:3567–8.
- Tejedor-Junco MT, Lupiola P, Schulz U, Gutierrez C. Isolation of nitratereductase positive Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis from dromedary camels. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2008;40:165–7.
- Biberstein EL, Knight HD, Jang S. Two biotypes of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis. Vet. Rec. 1971;89:691–2.
- Selim SA. Oedematous skin disease of buffalo in Egypt. J. Vet. Med. B infect. Dis. Vet. Public Health. 2001;48:241–58.
- Pratt SM, Spier SJ, Carroll SP, Vaughan B, Whitcomb MB, Wilson WD. Evaluation of clinical characteristics, diagnostic test results, and outcome in horses with internal infection caused by Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis: 30 cases (1995-2003). J Am Vet Med Assoc. 2005;227:441–8.
- Baird GJ, Fontaine MC. Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis and its role in ovine caseous lymphadenitis. J Comp Pathol. 2007;137:179–210.
- Guimarães, Alessandro de Sá, Carmo, Filipe Borges, Pauletti, Rebeca Barbosa, Seyffert, Núbia, Ribeiro, Dayana, Lage, Andrey Pereira, et al. Caseous lymphadenitis: epidemiology, diagnosis, and control. IIOAB J. 2011;2:33–43.
- Shigidi MT. A comparison of five serological tests for the diagnosis of experimental Corynebacterium Ovis infection in sheep. Br Vet J. 1979;135:172–7.
- Brown CC, Olander HJ, Alves SF. Synergistic hemolysis-inhibition titers associated with caseous lymphadenitis in a slaughterhouse survey of goats and sheep in northeastern Brazil. Can. J. Vet. Res. Rev. Can. Rech. Vét. 1987;51:46–9.
- Menzies PI, Muckle CA. The use of a microagglutination assay for the detection of antibodies to Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis in naturally infected sheep and goat flocks. Can J Vet Res Rev Can Rech Vét. 1989;53:313–8.
- Dercksen DP, Brinkhof JM, Dekker-Nooren T, Maanen K, Bode CF, Baird G, et al. A comparison of four serological tests for the diagnosis of caseous lymphadenitis in sheep and goats. Vet Microbiol. 2000;75:167–75.
- Pacheco LGC, Pena RR, Castro TLP, Dorella FA, Bahia RC, Carminati R, et al. Multiplex PCR assay for identification of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis from pure cultures and for rapid detection of this pathogen in clinical samples. J Med Microbiol. 2007;56:480–6.
- Williams RA, Sadler SA. Electrophoresis of glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, cell wall composition and the taxonomy of heterofermentative lactobacilli. J Gen Microbiol. 1971;65:351–8.
- Sankarasubramanian J, Vishnu US, Gunasekaran P, Rajendhran J. A genome-wide SNP-based phylogenetic analysis distinguishes different biovars of Brucella suis. Infect Genet Evol. 2016;41:213–7.
- Yeruham I, Braverman Y, Shpigel NY, Chizov-Ginzburg A, Saran A, Winkler M. Mastitis in dairy cattle caused by Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis and the feasibility of transmission by houseflies. I Vet Q. 1996;18:87–9.
- Yeruham I, Elad D, Van-Ham M, Shpigel NY, Perl S. Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis infection in Israeli cattle: clinical and epidemiological studies. Vet. Rec. 1997;140:423–7.
- Steinman A, Elad D, Shpigel NY. Ulcerative lymphangitis and coronet lesions in an Israeli dairy herd infected with Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis. Vet. Rec. 1999;145:604–6.
- Yeruham I, Elad D, Perl S, Ram A. Necrotic-ulcerative dermatitis on the heels of heifers in a dairy herd infected with Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis. Vet Rec. 2003;152:598–600.
- Almeida S, Sousa C, Abreu V, Diniz C, Dorneles EMS, Lage AP, et al. Exploration of nitrate Reductase metabolic pathway in *Corynebacterium* pseudotuberculosis. Int J Genomics. 2017;2017:1–12.

- Gonçalves JL, Tomazi T, Barreiro JR, Braga PA, Ferreira CR, Araújo Junior JP, et al. Identification of Corynebacterium spp. isolated from bovine intramammary infections by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry. Vet Microbiol. 2014;173:147–151.
- Raoult D, Fournier PE, Drancourt M. What does the future hold for clinical microbiology? Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2004;2:151–9.
- Langoni H, Camargo da Silva CP, Troncarelli MZ, Tata A, Belaz KRA, Eberlin MN, et al. Short communication: identification of Corynebacterium Bovis by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry. J Dairy Sci. [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Apr 1]; Available from: http://linkinghub.elsevier. com/retrieve/pii/S0022030217302539
- Ade Guimarães S, EMS D, Andrade GI, Lage AP, Miyoshi A, Azevedo V, et al. Molecular characterization of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis isolates using ERIC-PCR. Vet Microbiol. 2011;153:299–306.
- Dorneles EMS, Santana JA, Ribeiro D, Dorella FA, Guimarães AS, Moawad MS, et al. Evaluation of ERIC-PCR as genotyping method for Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis isolates. PLoS One. 2014;9:e98758.
- Dorneles EMS, Santana JA, Andrade GI, Santos ELS, Guimarães AS, Mota RA, et al. Molecular characterization of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis isolated from goats using ERIC-PCR. Genet Mol Res GMR. 2012;11:2051–9.
- MacFaddin JF. Biochemical tests for identification of medical bacteria. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2000.
- 29. Coyle MB, Lipsky BA. Coryneform bacteria in infectious diseases: clinical and laboratory aspects. Clin Microbiol Rev. 1990;3:227–46.
- Pitcher DG, Saunders NA, Owen RJ. Rapid extraction of bacterial genomic DNA with guanidium thiocyanate. Lett Appl Microbiol. 1989;8:151–6.
- Cetinkaya B, Karahan M, Atil E, Kalin R, De Baere T, Vaneechoutte M. Identification of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis isolates from sheep and goats by PCR. Vet Microbiol. 2002;88:75–83.
- 32. Khamis A, Raoult D, La Scola B. rpoB gene sequencing for identification of Corynebacterium species. J Clin Microbiol. 2004;42:3925–31.
- 33. Revelle, W. psych: Procedures for Psychological, Psychometric, and Personality Research [Internet]. Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, USA.; 2015. Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/psych/index.html
- Rotondi, M. A. epibasix: Elementary Epidemiological Functions for Epidemiology and Biostatistics [Internet]. 2012. Available from: https://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/epibasix/index.html.
- R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria : the R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2011.
- Clegg S, Yu F, Griffiths L, Cole JA. The roles of the polytopic membrane proteins NarK, NarU and NirC in Escherichia Coli K-12: two nitrate and three nitrite transporters. Mol Microbiol. 2002;44:143–55.
- 37. Cohen J. A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educ Psychol Meas. 1960;20:37–46.
- Asfour HAE, Darwish SF. Evaluation of phenotypic methods versus molecular methods for differentiation of Coagulase positive staphylococci causing bovine mastitis with a special reference to atypical Staphylococcus Aureus. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci. 2014;3(5):543–58.
- Campbell EA, Pavlova O, Zenkin N, Leon F, Irschik H, Jansen R, et al. Structural, functional, and genetic analysis of sorangicin inhibition of bacterial RNA polymerase. EMBO J. 2005;24:674–82.
- 40. Gagneux S, Long CD, Small PM, Van T, Schoolnik GK, Bohannan BJM. The competitive cost of antibiotic resistance in mycobacterium tuberculosis. Science. 2006;312:1944–6.
- Ocheretina O, Escuyer VE, Mabou M-M, Royal-Mardi G, Collins S, Vilbrun SC, et al. Correlation between Genotypic and Phenotypic Testing for Resistance to Rifampin in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Clinical Isolates in Haiti: Investigation of Cases with Discrepant Susceptibility Results. Mokrousov I, editor. PLoS ONE. 2014;9:e90569.
- Yang B. Relationship between antimycobacterial activities of rifampicin, rifabutin and KRM-1648 and rpoB mutations of mycobacterium tuberculosis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 1998;42:621–8.
- Boehme CC, Nicol MP, Nabeta P, Michael JS, Gotuzzo E, Tahirli R, et al. Feasibility, diagnostic accuracy, and effectiveness of decentralised use of the Xpert MTB/RIF test for diagnosis of tuberculosis and multidrug resistance: a multicentre implementation study. Lancet. 2011;377:1495–505.
- 44. Yang H, Qin L, Wang Y, Zhang B, Liu Z, Ma H, et al. Detection of mycobacterium tuberculosis based on H37Rv binding peptides using surface functionalized magnetic microspheres coupled with quantum dots - a nano detection method for mycobacterium tuberculosis. Int J Nanomedicine. 2015;10:77–88.

- Songer JG, Beckenbach K, Marshall MM, Olson GB, Kelley L. Biochemical and genetic characterization of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis. Am J Vet Res. 1988;49:223–6.
- 46. Sutherland SS, Hart RA, Buller NB. Genetic differences between nitratenegative and nitrate-positive C. Pseudotuberculosis strains using restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Vet. Microbiol. 1996;49:1–9.
- Tan MP, Sequeira P, Lin WW, Phong WY, Cliff P, Ng SH, et al. Nitrate Respiration Protects Hypoxic Mycobacterium tuberculosis Against Acidand Reactive Nitrogen Species Stresses. Neyrolles O, editor. PLoS ONE. 2010;5:e13356.
- Fournier P-E, Drancourt M, Colson P, Rolain J-M, Scola BL, Raoult D. Modern clinical microbiology: new challenges and solutions. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2013;11:574–85.
- 49. Oliveira A, Teixeira P, Azevedo M, Jamal SB, Tiwari S, Almeida S, et al. Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis may be under anagenesis and biovar Equi forms biovar Ovis: a phylogenic inference from sequence and structural analysis. BMC Microbiol. 2016;16:100.
- Soares SC, Trost E, Ramos RTJ, Carneiro AR, Santos AR, Pinto AC, et al. Genome sequence of Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis biovar equi strain 258 and prediction of antigenic targets to improve biotechnological vaccine production. J Biotechnol. 2013;167:135–41.
- Faino L, Seidl MF, Datema E, van den Berg GCM, Janssen A, AHJ W, et al. Single-molecule real-time sequencing combined with optical mapping yields completely finished fungal genome. MBio. 2015;6:e00936–15.

# Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

